

GLOBAL EXISTENCE OF SOLUTIONS OF THE LIQUID CRYSTAL FLOW FOR THE OSEEN-FRANK MODEL

MIN-CHUN HONG AND ZHOUPING XIN

ABSTRACT. In the first part of this paper, we establish global existence of solutions of the liquid crystal (gradient) flow for the well-known Oseen-Frank model. The liquid crystal flow is a prototype of equations from the Ericksen-Leslie system in the hydrodynamic theory and generalizes the heat flow for harmonic maps into the 2-sphere. The Ericksen-Leslie system is a system of the Navier-Stokes equations coupled with the liquid crystal flow. In the second part of this paper, we also prove global existence of solutions of the Ericksen-Leslie system for a general Oseen-Frank model in \mathbb{R}^2 .

1. Introduction

A *liquid crystal* is a state of matter intermediate between a crystalline solid and a normal isotropic liquid. Research into liquid crystals is an area of a very successful synergy between mathematics and physics. There are a lot of analytical and computational issues, which arise in the attempt to study static equilibrium configurations. Numerical and experimental analysis has shown that equilibrium configurations are expected to have point and line singularities ([K]). Mathematically, Hardt, Kinderlehrer and Lin in their fundamental papers [HKL1] and [HKL2] proved the existence of an energy minimizer u of the liquid crystal functional and showed that a minimizer u is smooth away from a closed set Σ of Ω . Moreover, Σ has Hausdorff dimension strictly less than one. In [AL], Almgren and Lieb did some related analysis indicating that the phenomenon is of wider interest. In physical theory, an equilibrium configuration corresponds to a critical point, not necessarily an energy minimizer, of the liquid crystal energy. Critical points are much harder to understand mathematically than minima. From the above result of Hardt, Kinderlehrer and Lin, minimizers cannot have line singularities. Following the work of Bethuel-Brezis-Coron on harmonic maps in [BBC], Giaquinta, Modica and Soucek [GMS2] found a relaxed energy for the liquid crystal systems, whose minimizers are also equilibrium configurations. On the other hand, Giaquinta, Modica and Soucek [GMS1] also proved that minimizers of the relaxed energy for harmonic maps are smooth away from a 1-dimensional singular set. Further developments on the regularity results on harmonic maps were surveyed in [GMS3]. There is an interesting open problem to prove that minimizers of the relaxed liquid crystal energy have line singularities. The first author in [Ho3] proved partial regularity of minimizers of the modified relaxed energy of the liquid crystal energy. However, the partial

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* AMS 35K50, 35Q30.

Key words and phrases. Liquid crystals flow, Navier-Stokes equations.

regularity of minimizers of the relaxed energy for liquid crystals is still mysterious. In some related studies of liquid crystals, Bauman, Calderer, Liu and Phillis [BCCP] studied the Landau-de Gennes free energy used to describe the transition between chiral nematic and smectic liquid crystal phase, Lin and Pan [LP] used the Landau-de Gennes models to investigate the magnetic field induced instabilities in liquid crystals, and the existence of infinite many liquid crystal equilibrium configurations prescribing the same boundary was obtained in [Ho2].

A general description of the static theory of liquid crystals is given by Ericksen in [Er]. A liquid crystal is composed of rod like molecules which display orientational order, unlike a liquid, but lacking the lattice structure of a solid. The kinematic variable in the nematic and cholesteric phase may be taken to the optic axis, which is a unit vector field u in a region $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ occupied by the materials. The liquid crystal energy for a configuration $u \in H^1(\Omega; S^2)$ is given by

$$(1.1) \quad E(u; \Omega) = \int_{\Omega} W(u, \nabla u) dx,$$

where the Oseen-Frank density $W(u, \nabla u)$, depending on positive material constants k_1, k_2, k_3 and k_4 , is given by

$$W(u, \nabla u) = k_1(\operatorname{div} u)^2 + k_2(u \cdot \operatorname{curl} u)^2 + k_3|u \times \operatorname{curl} u|^2 + k_4[\operatorname{tr}(\nabla u)^2 - (\operatorname{div} u)^2].$$

Without loss of generality, as in [HKL1] or [GMS3], we rewrite the density

$$(1.2) \quad W(u, \nabla u) = a|\nabla u|^2 + V(u, \nabla u), \quad a = \min\{k_1, k_2, k_3\} > 0,$$

where

$$V(u, \nabla u) = (k_1 - a)(\operatorname{div} u)^2 + (k_2 - a)(u \cdot \operatorname{curl} u)^2 + (k_3 - a)|u \times \operatorname{curl} u|^2.$$

A static equilibrium configuration corresponds to an extremal (critical point) of the energy functional E in $H^1(\Omega, S^2)$. The Euler-Lagrange system for the general Oseen-Frank functional (1.1) (see details in Appendix) is:

$$(1.3) \quad \begin{aligned} & \nabla_{\alpha} [W_{p_{\alpha}^i}(u, \nabla u) - u^l u^i V_{p_{\alpha}^l}(u, \nabla u)] - W_{u^i}(u, \nabla u) + W_{u^l}(u, \nabla u) u^l u^i \\ & + W_{p_{\alpha}^l}(u, \nabla u) \nabla_{\alpha} u^l u^i + V_{p_{\alpha}^l}(u, \nabla u) u^l \nabla_{\alpha} u^i = 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega \end{aligned}$$

for $i = 1, 2, 3$, where we adopt the standard summation convention. In a special case of $k_1 = k_2 = k_3$, the system (1.3) becomes the harmonic map equations into S^2 . However, the equilibrium system associated to the energy functional (1.1) is not elliptic for every choice of the constants k_1, k_2 and k_3 .

In the first part of this paper, we investigate the liquid crystal flow for a model with the Oseen-Frank density (1.2). For a domain Ω in \mathbb{R}^3 or in \mathbb{R}^2 , a map $u(x, t) : \Omega \times [0, \infty) \rightarrow S^2$ is a solution of the liquid crystal flow if u satisfies

$$(1.4) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{\partial u^i}{\partial t} &= \nabla_{\alpha} [W_{p_{\alpha}^i}(u, \nabla u) - u^l u^i V_{p_{\alpha}^l}(u, \nabla u)] - W_{u^i}(u, \nabla u) \\ &+ W_{u^l}(u, \nabla u) u^l u^i + W_{p_{\alpha}^l}(u, \nabla u) \nabla_{\alpha} u^l u^i + V_{p_{\alpha}^l}(u, \nabla u) u^l \nabla_{\alpha} u^i \end{aligned}$$

in $\Omega \times [0, \infty)$ for $i = 1, 2, 3$.

The flow equation (1.4) is a prototype of equations from the Ericksen-Leslie system in the hydrodynamic theory (cf. [Er]). The liquid crystal flow (1.4) also generalizes the heat flow for harmonic maps into the 2-sphere. Since the seminal work of Eells-Sampson [ES], many work on the heat flow for harmonic maps have been made. In 2 dimensional case, Struwe [St1] established global existence of the weak solution of the harmonic maps flow with initial data, where the solution is smooth except for a finite number of singularities. In higher dimensional cases, Chen-Struwe [CS] proved global existence of partially regular solutions to the harmonic map flow. Since (1.4) is not parabolic, the system of the liquid crystal flow is complicated, so the question on global existence for the liquid crystal flow (1.4) for the Oseen-Frank model remains unresolved. In this paper, we prove global existence of solutions of the liquid crystal flow in 2D.

We set

$$H_b^1(\mathbb{R}^2; S^2) := \{u : u - b \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^2; \mathbb{R}^3), \quad |u| = 1 \text{ a.e. in } \mathbb{R}^2\}$$

for a constant vector $b \in S^2$.

Then, one of our main results in this paper is the following global existence for this flow in 2D (i.e. u is a constant along a direction in \mathbb{R}^3):

Theorem A. *Let $u_0 \in H_b^1(\mathbb{R}^2; S^2)$ be a given map. Then there exists a global weak solution $u(x, t) : \mathbb{R}^2 \times [0, +\infty) \rightarrow S^2$ of (1.4) with initial value $u(0) = u_0$ such that u is smooth in $\Omega \times [0, +\infty)$ except for a finite number of singularities $\{(x_i^l, T_l)\}_{l=1}^K \in \mathbb{R}^2 \times [0, +\infty)$ with an integer $K > 0$ depending on u_0 . Moreover, there are two constants $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ and $R_0 > 0$ such that each singular point x_i^l at the time T_l is characterized by the condition*

$$\limsup_{t \nearrow T_l} E(u(t), B_R(x_i^l)) \geq \varepsilon_0$$

for any $R > 0$ with $R \leq R_0$.

This result can be regarded as an extension of the well-known result of Struwe in [St1] on the heat flow for harmonic maps in dimension two. Since the liquid crystal flow is not a parabolic system, the flow (1.4) is more complicated than the harmonic map flow. In particular, we can not apply the well-known theory of partial differential equations directly to prove the local existence for the liquid crystal flow. Instead, we consider a family of Ginzburg-Landau approximation flows to prove local existence of solutions to (1.4). To prove Theorem A, we need to get a L^2 -estimate of $\nabla^2 u$ similarly to one in [St1]. However, the flow (1.4) is not a parabolic system, so we overcome the difficulties due to the term $\nabla_\alpha [u^l u^i V_{p_\alpha^l}(u, \nabla u)]$ by using the fact that $|u| = 1$ as observed in [Ho1].

In the second part of this paper, we investigate the Ericksen-Leslie system with the Oseen-Frank density $W(u, \nabla u)$ in (1.2). In the 1960's, Ericksen [Er] and Leslie [Le] established the hydrodynamic theory of liquid crystals independently. The Ericksen-Leslie theory describes the dynamic flow of liquid crystals, including the velocity vector v and direction vector u of the fluid. Let $v = (v^1, v^2, v^3)$ be the

velocity vector of the fluid and $u = (u^1, u^2, u^3)$ the unit direction vector. The Ericksen-Leslie system in $\Omega \times [0, \infty)$ is given by (e.g. [L1] and [LL1])

$$(1.5) \quad v_t^i + (v \cdot \nabla)v^i - \nu \Delta v^i + \nabla_{x_i} P = -\lambda \nabla_{x_j} (\nabla_{x_i} u^k W_{p_j^k}(u, \nabla u)),$$

$$(1.6) \quad \nabla \cdot v = 0,$$

$$(1.7) \quad \begin{aligned} u_t^i + (v \cdot \nabla)u^i = & \nabla_\alpha [W_{p_\alpha^i}(u, \nabla u) - u^k u^i V_{p_\alpha^k}(u, \nabla u)] - W_{u^i}(u, \nabla u) \\ & + W_{u^k}(u, \nabla u) u^k u^i + W_{p_\alpha^l}(u, \nabla u) \nabla_\alpha u^l u^i + V_{p_\alpha^k}(u, \nabla u) u^k \nabla_\alpha u^i \end{aligned}$$

for $i = 1, 2, 3$, prescribing the boundary condition

$$(1.8) \quad v(x, t) = 0, \quad u(x, t) = u_0(x), \quad \forall (x, t) \in \partial\Omega \times (0, \infty)$$

and with initial data

$$(1.9) \quad v(x, 0) = v_0(x), \quad u(x, 0) = u_0(x), \quad \operatorname{div} v_0 = 0 \quad \forall x \in \Omega.$$

Here ν, λ are given positive constants, and P is the pressure.

The system (1.5)-(1.7) is a system of the Navier-Stokes equations coupled with the liquid crystal flow (1.4). The study of the Navier-Stokes equations is of great interest. Tremendous results on the existence and partial regularity for the Navier-Stokes equations have been established (e.g. [Sc], [CKN], [L2], [TX]). In this paper, we are only concentrating on the existence of solutions of the Ericksen-Leslie system. Since the functional $E(u; \Omega)$ in (1.1) with the constraint $|u| = 1$ is complicated, one considers Ginzburg-Landau functionals

$$E_\varepsilon(u; \Omega) = \int_\Omega \left[W(u, \nabla u) + \frac{1}{2\varepsilon^2} (1 - |u|^2)^2 \right] dx$$

for any function $u \in H^1(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^3)$. Then, the approximating Ericksen-Leslie system is given by

$$(1.10) \quad v_t^i + (v \cdot \nabla)v^i - \nu \Delta v^i + \nabla_{x_i} P = -\lambda \nabla_{x_j} (\nabla_{x_i} u^k W_{p_j^k}(u, \nabla u)),$$

$$(1.11) \quad \nabla \cdot v = 0,$$

$$(1.12) \quad u_t^i + (v \cdot \nabla)u^i = \nabla_\alpha [W_{p_\alpha^i}(u, \nabla u)] - W_{u^i}(u, \nabla u) + \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} u^i (1 - |u|^2)$$

for $i = 1, 2, 3$, prescribing the boundary condition (1.8) and initial condition (1.9).

In the case of $k_1 = k_2 = k_3$, Lin and Liu [LL1] proved global existence of the the classical solution of (1.10)-(1.12) with (1.8)-(1.9) in dimension two and the weak solution of the same system in dimension three. Lin and Liu in [LL2] also analyzed

the limit of solutions $(v_\varepsilon, u_\varepsilon)$ of (1.10)-(1.12) as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, but it is not clear that the limiting solution satisfies the original Ericksen-Leslie system (1.5)-(1.7) with $|u| = 1$. Therefore, there is an interesting question to establish the global existence of solutions of (1.5)-(1.7) with (1.8)-(1.9). The question for the case of $k_1 = k_2 = k_3$ has been answered by the first author in [Ho3] in \mathbb{R}^2 and Lin-Lin-Wang [LLW] in a general case for a domain of \mathbb{R}^2 independently. The system (1.5)-(1.7) or (1.10)-(1.12) for the general Oseen-Frank model is more complicated than the system for the case of $k_1 = k_2 = k_3$ since there is no maximum principle for the parabolic system (1.12) in the case $k_1 \neq k_2$ (see [A]) and the term $W_{ui}(u, \nabla u)$ in (1.12) will cause a trouble to prove global existence for the system.

In this paper, we will prove global existence of weak solutions to the Ericksen-Leslie system (1.5)-(1.7) for a general Oseen-Frank model in \mathbb{R}^2 . More precisely, we have

Theorem B. *Let $(u_0, v_0) \in H_b^1(\mathbb{R}^2; S^2) \times L^2(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{R}^2)$ be given initial data with $\operatorname{div} v_0 = 0$. Then, there exists a global weak solution $(u, v) : \mathbb{R}^2 \times [0, +\infty) \rightarrow S^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2$ of (1.5)-(1.7) with initial values (1.9), where the solution (u, v) is smooth in $\mathbb{R}^2 \times ((0, +\infty) \setminus \{T_l\}_{l=1}^L)$ for a finite number of times $\{T_l\}_{l=1}^L$. Moreover, there are two constants $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ and $R_0 > 0$ such that each singular point $(x_i^l, T_l) \in \Sigma \times \{T_l\}$ is characterized by the condition*

$$\limsup_{t \nearrow T_l} \int_{B_R(x_i^l)} |\nabla u(\cdot, t)|^2 + |v(\cdot, t)|^2 dx \geq \varepsilon_0$$

for any $R > 0$ with $R \leq R_0$.

The main idea to prove Theorem B is to combine the idea in [Ho3] with the proofs of Theorem A. The first key step is to prove local existence of solutions of the system (1.5)-(1.7) by considering the approximation system (1.10)-(1.12). To prove global existence of solutions to (1.5)-(1.7), one of key steps is to get a L^2 -estimate of $\nabla^2 u$ and ∇v in $\mathbb{R}^2 \times [0, T]$ under a small energy condition as in [St1]. To show the regularity of the weak solution (u, v) of (1.5)-(1.7) in $\mathbb{R}^2 \times (0, T)$, we establish a local energy inequality under the small energy condition, which was first used by Struwe in [St2] for the H -system flow. Finally, we prove regularity of solutions by controlling L^2 -estimate of $\nabla^2 u$ and ∇v in \mathbb{R}^2 for $t \in (0, T)$. Since (1.7) is not a parabolic system, the proof of Theorem B is more difficult than one for the case of $k_1 = k_2 = k_3$ in [Ho3]. We overcome a number of difficulties on the regularity and uniqueness for the systems by employing the invariance of the density (1.2) after a rotation.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we prove the global existence for the liquid crystal flow in 2D. Some global estimates for (1.5)-(1.7) are established in Section 3. Then, we complete a proof of Theorem B in Section 4. Finally, the regularity issue for the systems is dealt in Section 5.

2. Existence of partial regular solutions of the liquid crystal flow

In this section, we consider the flow (1.4) in \mathbb{R}^2 . For simplicity of notations, u is assumed to be a constant along x_3 -direction in \mathbb{R}^3 ; i.e. $\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_3} = 0$.

For any two positive constants τ and T with $\tau < T$, we define

$V(\tau, T) := \{u : \mathbb{R}^2 \times [\tau, T] \rightarrow S^2 \mid u \text{ is measurable and satisfies}$

$$\text{ess sup}_{\tau \leq t \leq T} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla u(\cdot, t)|^2 dx + \int_{\tau}^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla^2 u|^2 + |\partial_t u|^2 dx dt < \infty\}.$$

Lemma 1. *Let $u \in V(0, T)$ be a solution of the system (1.4) with initial value $u_0 \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^2, S^2)$. Then, for any $t_1 \in [0, T]$*

$$(2.1) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^2 \times (0, t_1)} |\partial_t u|^2 dx dt + E(u(t_1)) \leq E(u_0).$$

Moreover, for all $t \in [0, T]$, $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^2$ and $R > 0$, it holds that

$$(2.2) \quad \begin{aligned} & \int_{B_R(x_0)} W(u(x, t), \nabla u(x, t)) dx \\ & \leq \int_{B_{2R}(x_0)} W(u_0(x), \nabla u_0(x)) dx + C \frac{t}{R^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla u_0|^2 dx, \end{aligned}$$

where C is a constant.

Proof. Multiplying (1.4) by $\frac{\partial u^i}{\partial t}$ yields

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \right|^2 dx = - \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} W_{p_\alpha^i}(u, \nabla u) \frac{d}{dt} \nabla_\alpha u^i dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} W_{u^i}(u, \nabla u) \frac{\partial u^i}{\partial t} dx.$$

This implies

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \right|^2 dx + \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} W(u, \nabla u) dx = 0.$$

(2.1) follows from integrating the above identity.

Let $\phi \in C_0^\infty(B_{2R}(x_0))$ be a cut-off function satisfying $0 \leq \phi \leq 1$, $|\nabla \phi| \leq C/R$ and $\phi \equiv 1$ on $B_R(x_0)$. Multiplying (1.4) by $\frac{\partial u^i}{\partial t} \phi^2$ and then using Young's inequality yields

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \right|^2 \phi^2 dx + \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} W(u(x, t), \nabla u(x, t)) \phi^2 dx \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \right| |\nabla u| |\phi \nabla \phi| dx \\ & \leq \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \right|^2 \phi^2 dx + C \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} W(u, \nabla u) |\nabla \phi|^2 dx. \end{aligned}$$

Then, (2.2) follows from using (2.1) and integrating the above inequality. \square

It follows from [St1] that

Lemma 2. *There are constants C and R_0 such that for any $u \in V(0, T)$ and any $R \in (0, R_0]$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2 \times [0, T]} |\nabla u|^4 dx dt & \leq C \text{ess sup}_{0 \leq t \leq T, x \in \mathbb{R}^2} \int_{B_R(x)} |\nabla u(\cdot, t)|^2 dx \\ & \cdot \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2 \times [0, T]} |\nabla^2 u|^2 dx dt + R^{-2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2 \times [0, T]} |\nabla u|^2 dx dt \right). \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 3. *Let $u \in V(0, T)$ be a solution of (1.4) with initial smooth value $u_0 \in H^1$. Then there are constants ε_1 and $R_0 > 0$ such that if*

$$\operatorname{ess\,sup}_{0 \leq t \leq T, x \in \mathbb{R}^2} \int_{B_R(x)} |\nabla u(\cdot, t)|^2 dx < \varepsilon_1$$

for any $R \in (0, R_0]$, then

$$(2.3) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^2 \times [0, T]} |\nabla^2 u|^2 dx dt \leq CE(u_0) (1 + TR^{-2}),$$

$$(2.4) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^2 \times [0, T]} |\nabla u|^4 dx dt \leq C\varepsilon_1 E(u_0) (1 + TR^{-2}).$$

Proof. Multiplying (1.4) by Δu^i yields

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{\partial u^i}{\partial t} \Delta u^i dx \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \nabla_\alpha [W_{p_\alpha^i}(u, \nabla u) - u^k u^i V_{p_\alpha^k}(u, \nabla u)] \Delta u^i dx \\ & - \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} W_{u^i}(u, \nabla u) (\Delta u^i - u^k u^i \Delta u^k) dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} W_{p_\alpha^k}(u, \nabla u) \nabla_\alpha u^k u^i \Delta u^i dx \\ & + \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} V_{p_\alpha^k}(u, \nabla u) u^k \nabla_\alpha u^i \Delta u^i dx := I_1 + I_2 + I_3 + I_4. \end{aligned}$$

Note that the terms I_2 and I_3 of the above identity can be controlled by $C|\nabla u|^2|\Delta u|$. It suffices to estimate terms I_1 and I_4 . Since $|u|^2 = 1$, $-u^i \Delta u^i = |\nabla u|^2$. We note

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_\alpha [u^k u^i V_{p_\alpha^k}(u, \nabla u)] &= \nabla_\alpha u^k u^i V_{p_\alpha^k}(u, \nabla u) + u^k \nabla_\alpha u^i V_{p_\alpha^k}(u, \nabla u) \\ & + u^k u^i \nabla_\alpha V_{p_\alpha^k}(u, \nabla u). \end{aligned}$$

Integration by parts twice yields

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 + I_4 &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \nabla_\beta [W_{p_\alpha^i}(u, \nabla u)] \nabla_{\alpha\beta}^2 u^i dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \nabla_\alpha u^k u^i V_{p_\alpha^k}(u, \nabla u) \Delta u^i dx \\ & - \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} u^k \nabla_\alpha V_{p_\alpha^k}(u, \nabla u) |\nabla u|^2 dx. \end{aligned}$$

Note

$$\nabla_\alpha V_{p_\alpha^k}(u, \nabla u) = V_{p_\alpha^k p_\gamma^i}(u, \nabla u) \nabla_{\gamma\alpha}^2 u^i + V_{p_\alpha^k u^i}(u, \nabla u) \nabla_\alpha u^i$$

and

$$\nabla_\beta W_{p_\alpha^i}(u, \nabla u) = W_{p_\alpha^i p_\gamma^j}(u, \nabla u) \nabla_{\gamma\beta}^2 u^j + W_{p_\alpha^i u^j}(u, \nabla u) \nabla_\beta u^j.$$

This implies

$$\begin{aligned} (2.5) \quad & \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla u|^2 dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} W_{p_\alpha^i p_\gamma^j}(u, \nabla u) \nabla_{\alpha\beta}^2 u^i \nabla_{\gamma\beta}^2 u^j dx \\ & \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla u|^2 (|\nabla u|^2 + |\nabla^2 u|) dx. \end{aligned}$$

Since $W(u, p)$ is convex in p , it satisfies the ellipticity

$$W_{p_\alpha^i p_\gamma^j}(u, \nabla u) \nabla_{\alpha\beta}^2 u^i \nabla_{\gamma\beta}^2 u^j \geq a |\nabla^2 u|^2$$

for the constant $a > 0$. Then, choosing $\varepsilon_1 > 0$ to be sufficiently small and applying Lemma 2 lead to (2.3) and (2.4). \square

Lemma 4. *Let $u \in V(0, T)$ be a solution of (1.4) with initial value $u_0 \in H^1$. Assume that*

$$\operatorname{ess\,sup}_{0 \leq t \leq T, x \in \mathbb{R}^2} \int_{B_R(x)} |\nabla u(\cdot, t)|^2 dx < \varepsilon_1$$

for any $R \in (0, R_0]$. Let $\tau \in (0, T]$ be any constant. Then it holds for all $t \in [\tau, T]$,

$$(2.6) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla^2 u(x, t)|^2 dx \leq C_0,$$

with a uniform constant depending only on τ, T, R_0 , and $E(u_0)$.

Proof. The proof is similar to [St1; Lemma 3.10]. Using a proper cut-off function if necessary, we assume in the following proof that $\int |\partial_t \nabla u|^2(\cdot, t), dx$ is finite.

Differentiate (1.4) with respect to t , multiply the resulting identity by $\partial_t u^i$, and then integrate to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\partial_t u|^2 dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} W_{p_\alpha p_\beta}^i(u, \nabla u) \nabla_\alpha \partial_t u^i \nabla_\beta \partial_t u^j dx \\ & \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (|\partial_t u|^2 |\nabla u|^2 + |\nabla u| |\partial_t u| |\nabla \partial_t u|) dx \\ & \quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \partial_t u^i \nabla_\alpha [u^i u^k V_{p_\alpha}^k(u, \nabla \partial_t u)] dx. \end{aligned}$$

Due to the convexity of $W(u, p)$ in p , there exists a positive constant $a > 0$ such that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} W_{p_\alpha p_\beta}^i(u, \nabla u) \nabla_\alpha \partial_t u^i \nabla_\beta \partial_t u^j dx \leq a \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla \partial_t u(x, t)|^2 dx.$$

Since $|u| = 1$, so $\sum_i \partial_t u^i u^i = 0$. And hence,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \partial_t u^i \nabla_\alpha (u^i u^k V_{p_\alpha}^k(u, \nabla \partial_t u)) dx \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\partial_t u| |\nabla u| |\nabla \partial_t u| dx.$$

It follows from these and Cauchy's inequality that

$$(2.7) \quad \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\partial_t u(x, t)|^2 dx + \frac{a}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla \partial_t u(x, t)|^2 dx \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\partial_t u|^2 |\nabla u|^2 dx.$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} & C \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\partial_t u|^2 |\nabla u|^2 dx \\ & \leq C \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\partial_t u|^4 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla u|^4 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ & \leq C \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\partial_t u|^2(x, t) dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\partial_t \nabla u|^2(x, t) dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla u|^4 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ & \leq \frac{a}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla \partial_t u(x, t)|^2 dx + \left(C \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla u(x, t)|^4 dx \right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\partial_t u(x, t)|^2 dx. \end{aligned}$$

This, together with (2.7), yields that for all $t \in (0, T]$,

$$(2.8) \quad \begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\partial_t u(\cdot, t)|^2 dx + \frac{a}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla \partial_t u(\cdot, t)|^2 dx \\ & \leq \left(C \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla u(\cdot, t)|^4 dx \right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\partial_t u(\cdot, t)|^2 dt. \end{aligned}$$

It follows from (2.8), (2.4), Lemma 1, and Gronwall's inequality that for any $0 < s \leq t \leq T$,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\partial_t u(\cdot, t)|^2 dt & \leq \left(e^{C \int_s^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla u(\cdot, l)|^4 dx dl} \right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\partial_t u(\cdot, s)|^2 dx \\ & \leq e^{C \varepsilon_1 E(u_0)(1+TR^{-2})} \cdot \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\partial_t u(\cdot, s)|^2 dx. \end{aligned}$$

Combining this with (2.1) shows that for any fixed $0 < \tau < T$, there exists a constant C such that

$$\operatorname{ess\,sup}_{\tau \leq t \leq T} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\partial_t u(\cdot, t)|^2 dt \leq C \tau^{-1} E(u_0) e^{C \varepsilon_1 E(u_0)(1+TR^{-2})},$$

with a uniform constant C . On the other hand, using (2.5), integration by parts yields that for any $t \in [\tau, T]$,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla^2 u(\cdot, t)|^2 dx & \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla u(\cdot, t)|^4 dx + C \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\partial_t u(\cdot, t)|^2 dx \\ & \leq C \varepsilon_1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla^2 u(\cdot, t)|^2 dx + \frac{C \varepsilon_1}{R_0^2} E(u_0) + C \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\partial_t u(\cdot, t)|^2 dx. \end{aligned}$$

Combining this with (2.9) shows that for suitably small ε_1 , the desired estimate (2.6) holds with

$$(2.10) \quad C_0 \equiv CE(u_0) \left(\frac{\varepsilon_1}{R^2} + \tau^{-1} e^{C \varepsilon_1 E(u_0)(1+TR^{-2})} \right).$$

By the well-known Gagliardo-Nirenberg-Sobolev inequality, we have for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^2$

$$\begin{aligned} |u(x, t_1) - u(x, t_2)| & \leq C \|u(x, t_1) - u(x, t_2)\|_{H^2(B_1(x))}^{3/4} \|u(x, t_1) - u(x, t_2)\|_{L^2(B_1(x))}^{1/4} \\ & \leq C \left(\sup_{\tau \leq t \leq T} \|\nabla^2 u(\cdot, t)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}^{3/4} + 1 \right) |t_1 - t_2|^{1/8} \left(\int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\partial_t u|^2 dx dt \right)^{1/8} \\ & \leq C |t_1 - t_2|^{1/8}. \end{aligned}$$

It follows from (2.6) and Sobolev embedding theorem that $u(x, t)$ is Hölder continuous in x uniformly for $t \in [\tau, T]$. Then we get that u is Hölder continuous in $C^{1/8}(\mathbb{R}^2 \times [\tau, T])$ for any $T < T_1$. Due to Proposition 14 in Appendix, u is in $C^{1, \frac{1}{8}}$. Hence, u is regular in $(0, T_1)$. \square

Remark 5. Let $u \in V(0, T)$ be a solution of (1.4) with initial value $u_0 \in H_b^2$. Assume that there are constants ε_1 and $R_0 > 0$ such that

$$\operatorname{ess\,sup}_{0 \leq t \leq T, x \in \mathbb{R}^2} \int_{B_R(x)} |\nabla u(\cdot, t)|^2 dx < \varepsilon_1$$

for any $R \in (0, R_0]$. Then, for any $t \in [0, T]$ and $R \leq R_0$, we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla^2 u(x, t)|^2 dx \leq C_1 \equiv C_1(\|u_0\|_{H_b^2}, C_0).$$

Theorem 6. (Local existence) For a map $u_0 \in H_b^1(\mathbb{R}^2, S^2)$, there is a solution $u \in V(0, t_1)$ of (1.4) with initial value u_0 for some $t_1 > 0$.

Proof. For any map $u_0 \in H_b^1(\mathbb{R}^2, S^2)$, it can be approximated by a sequence of smooth maps in $H_b^2(\mathbb{R}^2, S^2)$. Without loss of generality, we assume that $u_0 \in H_b^2(\mathbb{R}^2, S^2)$ is smooth. The liquid crystal flow is not a parabolic system, so one can not apply the well-known local existence theory. Instead, we prove the local existence by an approximation of the Ginzburg-Landau flow in the following:

$$(2.11) \quad \frac{\partial u_\varepsilon^i}{\partial t} = \nabla_\alpha [W_{p_\alpha^i}(u_\varepsilon, \nabla u_\varepsilon)] - W_{u^i}(u_\varepsilon, \nabla u_\varepsilon) + \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} u_\varepsilon^i (1 - |u_\varepsilon|^2)$$

with initial value $u_0 \in H_b^2(\mathbb{R}^2, S^2)$ and $u_0 \in C^\infty$. Applying the standard local existence theory of quasi-linear parabolic systems (cf. [Ei] or [Am]), there is a local regular solution u_ε of (2.11) with initial value $u_\varepsilon(0) = u_0$.

For simplicity of notations, we define

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{V}(\tau, T) = & \left\{ u : \mathbb{R}^2 \times [\tau, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3 \mid u \text{ is measurable and satisfies} \right. \\ & \left. \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{\tau \leq t \leq T} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla u(\cdot, t)|^2 dx + \int_\tau^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (|\nabla^2 u|^2 + |\partial_t u|^2) dx dt < \infty \right\}, \\ & 0 \leq \tau < T < +\infty, \\ e_\varepsilon(u) = & W(u, \nabla u) + \frac{1}{2\varepsilon^2} (1 - |u|^2)^2, \quad E_\varepsilon(u) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e_\varepsilon(u) dx. \end{aligned}$$

Taking inner product of (2.11) with $\partial_t u_\varepsilon$, one can obtain that for any $s > 0$ in the maximal interval of existence,

$$(2.12) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^2 \times (0, s)} |\partial_t u_\varepsilon|^2 dx dt + E_\varepsilon(u_\varepsilon(s)) \leq E(u_0).$$

Moreover, repeating similar arguments in Lemma 3 (see below (2.29) below) yields that the solution u_ε belongs to $\tilde{V}(0, T_\varepsilon)$ for a maximum time T_ε and hence is regular $\mathbb{R}^2 \times [0, T_\varepsilon)$. The maximum time T_ε is characterized in the following: For a singular point x_0 at T_ε , there are ε_0 and $R_0 > 0$ such that

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow T_\varepsilon} \int_{B_R(x_0)} |\nabla u_\varepsilon(\cdot, t)|^2 dx \geq \varepsilon_0 > 0$$

for any positive $R \leq R_0$.

Next, we will show that there is a uniform lower bound time $t_1 > 0$ such that $T_\varepsilon \geq t_1$ and u_ε is bounded in $\tilde{V}(0, t_1)$ uniformly in ε .

A similar argument as in Lemma 1 shows

$$\int_{B_R(x_0)} e_\varepsilon(u_\varepsilon(x, t)) dx \leq \int_{B_{2R}(x_0)} e_\varepsilon(u_0(x)) dx + C \frac{t}{R^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla u_0|^2 dx$$

for $t \leq T_\varepsilon$.

It follows from this inequality that for suitably small ε_1 and R_0 , there is a time t_1 uniform in ε with $t_1 \leq T_\varepsilon$ such that

$$(2.13) \quad \sup_{0 \leq t \leq t_1} \int_{B_R(x_0)} e_\varepsilon(u_\varepsilon(x, t)) dx < \varepsilon_1$$

for $R \leq R_0$ and thus u_ε is smooth for $[0, t_1]$ for all $\varepsilon > 0$. Next, we claim that for $0 \leq t \leq t_1$

$$\frac{1}{2} \leq |u_\varepsilon(x, t)| \leq \frac{3}{2} \text{ for all } x \in \mathbb{R}^2.$$

To verify this claim, we re-scale the solution by $\tilde{u}(x, t) = u_\varepsilon(\varepsilon x, \varepsilon^2 t)$. Then \tilde{u} satisfies

$$(2.14) \quad \frac{\partial \tilde{u}^i}{\partial t} = \nabla_\alpha [W_{p_\alpha^i}(\tilde{u}, \nabla \tilde{u})] - W_{u^i}(\tilde{u}, \nabla \tilde{u}) + \tilde{u}^i(1 - |\tilde{u}|^2)$$

with initial value $u_0(\varepsilon x)$. Let τ be the maximal time in $[0, \frac{t_1}{\varepsilon^2}]$ such that (2.14) holds, i.e.,

$$(2.15) \quad \frac{1}{2} \leq |\tilde{u}(x, t)| \leq \frac{3}{2}$$

for any $(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \times [0, \tau]$. Note that in this case, the basic energy inequality (2.12) becomes

$$(2.16) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^2 \times [0, s]} |\partial_t \tilde{u}|^2 dx dt + \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (W(\tilde{u}, \nabla \tilde{u})(s) + \frac{1}{2}(1 - |\tilde{u}(s)|^2)^2) dx \leq E(u_0)$$

for all $s \in \left[0, \frac{t_1}{\varepsilon^2}\right]$,

and the condition (2.13) turns into

$$(2.17) \quad \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{0 \leq s \leq \frac{t_1}{\varepsilon^2}, x \in \mathbb{R}^2} \int_{B_{\frac{R}{\varepsilon}}(x)} \left(|\nabla \tilde{u}(\cdot, s)|^2 + \frac{1}{2}(1 - |\tilde{u}|^2)^2 \right) dx < \varepsilon_1.$$

for $R \leq R_0$.

Multiplying (2.14) by $\Delta \tilde{u}$ and integrating over \mathbb{R}^2 lead to

$$(2.18) \quad \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla \tilde{u}|^2 dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \nabla_\alpha [W_{p_\alpha^i}(\tilde{u}, \nabla \tilde{u})] \Delta \tilde{u}^i dx \\ - \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} W_{\tilde{u}^i}(\tilde{u}, \nabla \tilde{u}) \Delta \tilde{u}^i dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \tilde{u}^i (1 - |\tilde{u}|^2) \Delta \tilde{u}^i dx = 0.$$

Note that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \tilde{u}^i (1 - |\tilde{u}|^2) \Delta \tilde{u}^i dx = - \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla \tilde{u}|^2 (1 - |\tilde{u}|^2) dx + 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla |\tilde{u}|^2|^2 dx.$$

Then, combining the above identity with (2.18) yields that for any $s, t \in [0, \tau]$ with $s \leq t$,

$$(2.19) \quad \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla \tilde{u}(\cdot, t)|^2 dx + \int_s^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} W_{p_\alpha^i p_\gamma^j}(\tilde{u}, \nabla \tilde{u}) \nabla_{\alpha\beta}^2 \tilde{u}^i \nabla_{\gamma\beta}^2 \tilde{u}^j dx dt \\ \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla u(\cdot, s)|^2 dx + C \int_s^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla \tilde{u}|^4 + \eta[(1 - |\tilde{u}|^2)^2 + |\nabla^2 \tilde{u}|^2] dx dt$$

for a sufficiently small $\eta > 0$ to be chosen.

On the other hand, it follows from (2.14) and (2.15) that

$$(2.20) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^2 \times [s, t]} (1 - |\tilde{u}|^2)^2 dx dt \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^2 \times [s, t]} (|\partial_t \tilde{u}|^2 + |\nabla \tilde{u}|^4 + |\nabla^2 \tilde{u}|^2) dx dt.$$

Combining Lemma 2 with (2.17) shows that

$$(2.21) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^2 \times [s, t]} |\nabla \tilde{u}|^4 dx dt \leq C_1 \varepsilon_1 \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2 \times [s, t]} |\nabla^2 \tilde{u}|^2 dx dt + \frac{\varepsilon^2}{R_0^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2 \times [s, t]} |\nabla \tilde{u}|^2 dx dt \right).$$

As a consequence of (2.19)-(2.21), (2.16), and suitable choices of η and ε_1 , one can get that $\tilde{u} \in \tilde{V}_\tau$, and for any $0 \leq s \leq t \leq \tau$,

$$(2.22) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^2 \times [s, t]} [|\nabla^2 \tilde{u}| + (1 - |\tilde{u}|^2)^2] dx dt \leq CE(u_0)(1 + \varepsilon^2(t - s)R_0^{-2}),$$

$$(2.23) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^2 \times [s, t]} |\nabla \tilde{u}(x, t)|^4 dx dt \leq C\varepsilon_1 E(u_0)(1 + \varepsilon^2(t - s)R_0^{-2}).$$

By a similar argument as in the proof of Lemma 4, one can derive from (2.14) that there exists a positive uniform constant a such that

$$(2.24) \quad \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\partial_t \tilde{u}(x, t)|^2 dx + a \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\partial_t \nabla \tilde{u}(x, t)|^2 dx + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\partial_t (|\tilde{u}(x, t)|^2)|^2 dx \\ \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\partial_t \tilde{u}(x, t)|^2 |\nabla \tilde{u}(x, t)|^2 dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\partial_t \tilde{u}(x, t)|^2 (1 - |\tilde{u}(x, t)|^2) dx$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned}
& C \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\partial_t \tilde{u}(x, t)|^2 |\nabla \tilde{u}(x, t)|^2 dx \\
& \leq C \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\partial_t \tilde{u}(x, t)|^4 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla \tilde{u}(x, t)|^4 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
& \leq C \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\partial_t \tilde{u}(x, t)|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\partial_t \nabla \tilde{u}(x, t)|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla \tilde{u}(x, t)|^4 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
& \leq \frac{a}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\partial_t \nabla \tilde{u}(x, t)|^2 dx + C \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla \tilde{u}(x, t)|^4 dx \right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\partial_t \tilde{u}(x, t)|^2 dx.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\partial_t \tilde{u}(x, t)|^2 dx + a \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\partial_t \nabla \tilde{u}(x, t)|^2 dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\partial_t (|\tilde{u}(x, t)|^2)|^2 dx \\
& \leq \left(C \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla \tilde{u}(x, t)|^4 dx \right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\partial_t \tilde{u}(x, t)|^2 dx + 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (\partial_t |\tilde{u}(x, t)|^2) (1 - |\tilde{u}(x, t)|^2) dx,
\end{aligned}$$

which yields immediately that for any $0 \leq t \leq \tau \in (0, \frac{t_1}{\varepsilon^2}]$,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\partial_t \tilde{u}(x, t)|^2 dx \\
& \leq e^{C \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla \tilde{u}(x, t)|^4 dx dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\partial_t \tilde{u}(x, 0)|^2 dx \\
& \quad + \int_0^t \left(e^{C \int_0^l \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla \tilde{u}(x, l)|^4 dx dl} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\partial_t \tilde{u}(x, s)|^2 (1 - |\tilde{u}(x, s)|^2) dx \right) ds.
\end{aligned}$$

It follows from this, (2.23), $u_0 \in H_b^2$, and (2.14) that

$$(2.25) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\partial_t \tilde{u}(x, t)|^2 dx \leq C_1 \equiv C_1(E(u_0), \varepsilon_1, t_1, \|u_0\|_{H_b^2}, R_0)$$

with a positive constant C_1 independent $\tau \in (0, \frac{t_1}{\varepsilon^2})$ given by

$$(2.26) \quad C_1 = C(\|u_0\|_{H_b^2}) e^{\varepsilon_1 E(u_0)(1 + \frac{t_1}{R_0^2})}.$$

Using (2.18), an integration by parts yields implies that for all $t \in (0, \tau]$,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla^2 \tilde{u}(\cdot, t)|^2 dx \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla \tilde{u}(x, t)|^4 dx + C \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (1 - |\tilde{u}|^2)^2 dx + C \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\partial_t \tilde{u}(x, t)|^2 dx$$

Due to Lemma 2, and (2.17), one has

$$C \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla \tilde{u}(x, t)|^4 dx \leq \varepsilon_1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla^2 \tilde{u}(x, t)|^2 dx + \frac{C \varepsilon_1 \varepsilon^2}{R_0^2} E(u_0).$$

Thus one can get that for all $t \in (0, \tau)$.

$$(2.27) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla^2 u(x, t)|^2 dx \leq CE(u_0) \left(1 + \frac{\varepsilon_1 \varepsilon^2}{R_0^2}\right) + CC_1.$$

By the Sobolev embedding theorem, \tilde{u} is β -Hölder continuous in x uniformly in all $t \in [0, \tau]$ with $\beta < 1$. Repeating the similar analysis as in the proof of Lemma 4 and using Proposition 13 in Appendix, we get $\tilde{u} \in C^{1, \frac{1}{8}}$ on $\mathbb{R}^2 \times (0, \tau)$. If there is a $x_1 \in \mathbb{R}^2$ such that either $|\tilde{u}(x_1, t)| < \frac{1}{2}$ or $|\tilde{u}(x_1, t)| > \frac{3}{2}$. By the uniform Hölder continuity of \tilde{u} , there exists a constant C_2 with the property that $\frac{1}{4C_2} < \frac{R_0}{\varepsilon}$, and

$$(1 - |\tilde{u}(x, t)|^2)^2 \geq \frac{1}{4}, \quad x \in B_{\frac{1}{4C_2}}(x_1).$$

Hence,

$$(2.28) \quad \int_{B_{\frac{1}{4C_2}}(x_1)} (1 - |\tilde{u}(x, t)|^2)^2 dx \geq \frac{1}{4} |B_{\frac{1}{4C_2}}(0)| > 2\varepsilon_1.$$

which contradicts to (2.17) for suitably small ε_1 . Here we have used the fact that C_2 depends only the upper bound of C_1 , which may be chosen to be independent of ε_1 by the choice of t_1 . This implies that $\frac{1}{2} \leq |\tilde{u}(x, t)| \leq \frac{3}{2}$ for all $t \in [0, \tau]$. By the continuity of u at τ and the maximal choice of τ , τ must be the value $\frac{t_1}{\varepsilon^2}$. This shows that (2.14) holds for all $t \in [0, t_1]$.

Next, it follows from (2.12) and (2.22)-(2.23) that u_ε are uniformly bounded in $\tilde{V}(0, t_1)$ for all ε and

$$(2.29) \quad \int_0^{t_1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left[|\nabla^2 u_\varepsilon(x, t)|^2 + \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} (1 - |u_\varepsilon(x, t)|^2)^2 \right] dx dt \leq CE(u_0) \left(1 + \frac{t_1}{R_0^2}\right),$$

$$(2.30) \quad \int_0^{t_1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla u_\varepsilon(x, t)|^4 dx dt \leq C\varepsilon_1 E(u_0) \left(1 + \frac{t_1}{R_0^2}\right).$$

Letting $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, we can prove local existence of a solution of (1.4) in $V(0, t_1)$. \square

Now we complete the proof of Theorem A.

Proof of Theorem A. By Theorem 7, there is a local solution u on $[0, t_1)$ for some $t_1 > 0$. By Lemma 3 and Lemma 4, the solution can be extended to $[0, T_1)$ for a maximal time $T_1 > 0$ such that there is a singular set Σ at T_1 . Each singularity $x_i^1 \in \Sigma$ at T_1 is characterized by the condition

$$\limsup_{t \nearrow T_1} E(u(t), B_R(x_i^1)) \geq \varepsilon_0$$

for any $R > 0$ with $R \leq R_0$. It is easy to see the solution $u \in V$ is regular for all $t \in (0, T_1)$. By Lemma 1, we can show that the singular set Σ and the singular times are finite (See [St1]). Theorem A is thus proved. \square

Remark. *There is an open problem to prove the uniqueness of the weak solutions. But, we can prove the uniqueness of smooth solutions (see Lemma 11 below in Section 3).*

3. Global existence for the Ericksen-Leslie system

In this section, we derive a-priori estimates for solutions to the Ericksen-Leslie system (1.5)-(1.7). Without loss of generality, we assume that $\nu = \lambda = 1$ in (1.5).

For the case $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^2$, we still consider (1.5)-(1.7) in \mathbb{R}^3 by taking $\frac{\partial v}{\partial x_3} = 0$, $\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_3} = 0$. In this case, $\nabla \cdot v = \frac{\partial v^1}{\partial x_1} + \frac{\partial v^2}{\partial x_2} = 0$ in (1.6) is well-defined.

For two positive constants τ and T with $\tau < T$, we denote

$$V(\tau, T) := \{u : \mathbb{R}^2 \times [\tau, T] \rightarrow S^2 \mid u \text{ is measurable and satisfies}$$

$$\text{ess sup}_{\tau \leq t \leq T} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla u(\cdot, t)|^2 dx + \int_{\tau}^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla^2 u|^2 + |\partial_t u|^2 dx dt < \infty\}$$

and

$$H(\tau, T) := \{v : \mathbb{R}^2 \times [\tau, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2 \mid v \text{ is measurable and satisfies}$$

$$\text{ess sup}_{\tau \leq t \leq T} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |v(\cdot, t)|^2 dx + \int_{\tau}^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla v|^2 dx dt < \infty\}.$$

For each pair (u, v) , define

$$e(u, v) = W(u, \nabla u) + \frac{1}{2}|v|^2, \quad E(u, v) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e(u, v) dx.$$

Lemma 7. *Let $(u, v) \in V(0, T) \times H(0, T)$ be a solution of (1.5)-(1.7) with initial values $u_0 \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^2; S^2)$ and $v_0 \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2; \mathbb{R}^3)$. Then for $t \in (0, T]$,*

$$(3.1) \quad \begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e(u(\cdot, t), v(\cdot, t)) dx + \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (|u_t + (v \cdot \nabla)u|^2 + |\nabla v|^2) dx dt \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e(u_0, v_0) dx. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Multiplying (1.5) by v and using (1.6), one gets

$$(3.2) \quad \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |v|^2 dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla v|^2 dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \nabla_j v^i \nabla_i u^k W_{p_j^k}(u, \nabla u) dx.$$

Multiplying (1.7) by $u_t + (v \cdot \nabla)u$ yields

$$(3.3) \quad \begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (u_t^i + (v \cdot \nabla)u^i) (\nabla_\alpha [W_{p_\alpha^i}(u, \nabla u) - u^k u^i V_{p_\alpha^k}(u, \nabla u)]) dx \\ &+ \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (u_t^i + (v \cdot \nabla)u^i) (-W_{u^i}(u, \nabla u) + W_{u^k}(u, \nabla u) u^k u^i) dx \\ &+ \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (u_t^i + (v \cdot \nabla)u^i) (+W_{p_\alpha^k}(u, \nabla u) \nabla_\alpha u^k u^i + V_{p_\alpha^k}(u, \nabla u) u^k \nabla_\alpha u^i) dx \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |u_t + (v \cdot \nabla)u|^2 dx. \end{aligned}$$

Note that $|u|^2 = 1$ implies

$$u^i \partial_t u^i = 0, \quad u^i \nabla_{x_\alpha} u^i = 0.$$

Integration by parts yields

$$(3.4) \quad \begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} u_t^i (\nabla_\alpha [W_{p_\alpha^i}(u, \nabla u) - u^k u^i V_{p_\alpha^k}(u, \nabla u)] - W_{u^i}(u, \nabla u) + V_{p_\alpha^k}(u, \nabla u) u^k \nabla_\alpha u^i) dx \\ &= - \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \nabla_\alpha u_t^i [W_{p_\alpha^i}(u, \nabla u)] - u_t^i W_{u^i}(u, \nabla u) dx = - \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} W(u, \nabla u) dx. \end{aligned}$$

Using (1.6) and integrating by parts, we get

$$(3.5) \quad \begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (v \cdot \nabla) u^i (\nabla_\alpha [W_{p_\alpha^i}(u, \nabla u) - u^k u^i V_{p_\alpha^k}(u, \nabla u)] - W_{u^i}(u, \nabla u) \\ & \quad + V_{p_\alpha^k}(u, \nabla u) u^k \nabla_\alpha u^i) dx \\ &= - \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \nabla_\alpha v^k \nabla_k u^i W_{p_\alpha^i}(u, \nabla u) + v^k [\nabla_k \nabla_\alpha u^i W_{p_\alpha^i}(u, \nabla u) + \nabla_k u^i W_{u^i}(u, \nabla u)] dx \\ &= - \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \nabla_\alpha v^k \nabla_k u^i W_{p_\alpha^i}(u, \nabla u) dx. \end{aligned}$$

It follows from (3.3)-(3.5) that

$$(3.6) \quad \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} W(u, \nabla u) dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |u_t + (v \cdot \nabla) u|^2 dx = - \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \nabla_\alpha v^k \nabla_k u^i W_{p_\alpha^i}(u, \nabla u) dx.$$

Therefore, (3.1) follows from integrating (3.2) and (3.6) in t . \square

By the same proof as in [St1; Lemma 3.1], there exists a constant C_1 such that for any $f \in H(0, T)$ and any $R > 0$, it holds that

$$(3.7) \quad \begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2 \times [0, T]} |f|^4 dx dt &\leq C_1 \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{0 \leq t \leq T, x \in \mathbb{R}^2} \int_{B_R(x)} |f(\cdot, t)|^2 dx \\ &\quad \cdot \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2 \times [0, T]} |\nabla f|^2 dx dt + R^{-2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2 \times [0, T]} |f|^2 dx dt \right). \end{aligned}$$

Then, we have

Lemma 8. *Let $(u, v) \in V(0, T) \times H(0, T)$ be a solution of (1.5)-(1.7) with initial values $u_0 \in H^1$ and $v_0 \in L^2$. Then there are constants ε_1 and $R_0 > 0$ such that if*

$$\operatorname{ess\,sup}_{0 \leq t \leq T, x \in \mathbb{R}^2} \int_{B_R(x)} e(u(\cdot, t), v(\cdot, t)) dx < \varepsilon_1$$

for any $R \in (0, R_0]$, then

$$(3.8) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^2 \times [0, T]} |\nabla^2 u|^2 + |\nabla v|^2 dx dt \leq C (1 + TR^{-2}) \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e(u_0, v_0) dx,$$

$$(3.9) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^2 \times [0, T]} (|\nabla u|^4 + |v|^4) dx dt \leq C \varepsilon_1 (1 + TR^{-2}) \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e(u_0, v_0) dx$$

Proof. Multiplying Δu^i with (1.7) yields

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left(\frac{\partial u^i}{\partial t} + (v \cdot \nabla) u^i \right) \Delta u^i dx \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \nabla_\alpha [W_{p_\alpha^i}(u, \nabla u) - u^k u^i V_{p_\alpha^k}(u, \nabla u)] \Delta u^i dx \\ & \quad - \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} W_{u^i}(u, \nabla u) \Delta u^i dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} u^k u^i W_{u^k}(u, \nabla u) \Delta u^i dx \\ & \quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} W_{p_\alpha^k}(u, \nabla u) \nabla_\alpha u^k u^i \Delta u^i dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} V_{p_\alpha^k}(u, \nabla u) u^k \nabla_\alpha u^i \Delta u^i dx. \end{aligned}$$

As in the proof of Lemma 3, one can derive

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla u|^2 dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} W_{p_\alpha^i p_\gamma^j}(u, \nabla u) \nabla_{\alpha\beta}^2 u^i \nabla_{\gamma\beta}^2 u^j dx \\ & \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (|\nabla u|^2 + |v|^2)(|\nabla u|^2 + |\nabla^2 u|) dx \\ & \leq \frac{b}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla^2 u|^2 dx + C \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (|\nabla u|^4 + |v|^4) dx. \end{aligned}$$

Applying (3.7) and Lemma 2 again shows

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}^2 \times [0, T]} |\nabla u|^4 + |v|^4 dx dt \\ & \leq C_1 \varepsilon_1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^2 \times [0, T]} |\nabla^2 u|^2 + |\nabla v|^2 dx dt + C_1 \varepsilon_1 R^{-2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2 \times [0, T]} |\nabla u|^2 + |v|^2 dx dt. \end{aligned}$$

Then (3.8) and (3.9) follow by choosing $\varepsilon_1 = \frac{b}{4C_1}$. \square

Lemma 9. Let (u, v) be a solution of (1.5)-(1.7) with initial values (u_0, v_0) with $u \in V(0, T)$ and $v \in H(0, T)$. Assume that there exist constants $\varepsilon_1 > 0$ and $R_0 > 0$ such that

$$\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^2, 0 \leq t \leq T} \int_{B_{R_0}(x)} |\nabla u(x, t)|^2 + |v(\cdot, t)|^2 dx < \varepsilon_1.$$

Then for all $t \in [0, T]$, $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ and $R \leq R_0$, it holds that

$$\begin{aligned} (3.10) \quad & \int_{B_R(x_0)} e(u(\cdot, t), v(\cdot, t)) dx + \int_0^t \int_{B_R(x_0)} (|\nabla v|^2 + \frac{1}{2} |\partial_t u + v \cdot \nabla u|^2) dx dt \\ & \leq \int_{B_{2R}(x_0)} e(u_0, v_0) dx + C_2 \frac{t^{\frac{1}{2}}}{R} (1 + \frac{t}{R^2})^{\frac{1}{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e(u_0, v_0) dx, \end{aligned}$$

where C_2 is a uniform positive constant.

Proof. Let $\phi \in C_0^\infty(B_{2R}(x_0))$ be a cut-off function with $\phi \equiv 1$ on $B_R(x_0)$ and $|\nabla \phi| \leq \frac{C}{R}$, $|\nabla^2 \phi| \leq \frac{C}{R^2}$ for all $R \leq R_0$.

Multiplying (1.5) by $v\phi^2$ and integrating show

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} v_t \cdot v \phi^2 + (v \cdot \nabla) v \cdot v \phi^2 - \Delta v \cdot v \phi^2 + \nabla P \cdot v \phi^2 dx \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \nabla_{x_i} u^k W_{p_j^k}(u, \nabla u) \nabla_{x_j} v^i \phi^2 dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \nabla_{x_i} u^k W_{p_j^k}(u, \nabla u) v^i \nabla_{x_j} \phi^2 dx. \end{aligned}$$

Integrating by parts yields

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (v_t \cdot v \phi^2 - \Delta v \cdot v \phi^2) dx = \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |v|^2 \phi^2 dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \nabla v \cdot \nabla (v \phi^2) dx \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |v|^2 \phi^2 dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla v|^2 \phi^2 dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |v|^2 (|\nabla \phi|^2 + \phi \Delta \phi) dx. \end{aligned}$$

Integrating by parts and using (1.6) give

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \nabla_{x_i} P v^i \phi^2 dx = -2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} P v^i \phi \nabla_{x_i} \phi dx$$

and

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} v^k \nabla_{x_k} v^i v^i \phi^2 = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} v^k \nabla_{x_k} (|v|^2) \phi^2 = - \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} v^k |v|^2 \phi \nabla_{x_k} \phi dx.$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} (3.11) \quad & \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |v|^2 \phi^2 dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla v|^2 \phi^2 dx \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (|v|^2 + 2P + |\nabla u|^2) v^i \phi \nabla_{x_i} \phi dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |v|^2 (|\nabla \phi|^2 + \phi \Delta \phi) dx \\ &+ \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \nabla_{x_i} u^k W_{p_j^k}(u, \nabla u) \nabla_{x_j} v^i \phi^2 dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \nabla_{x_i} u^k W_{p_j^k}(u, \nabla u) v^i \nabla_{x_j} \phi^2 dx. \end{aligned}$$

Multiplying (1.7) by $(u_t^i + (v \cdot \nabla) u^i) \phi^2$ and using $|u| = 1$ lead to

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |u_t + (v \cdot \nabla) u|^2 \phi^2 dx \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (u_t^i + v^l \nabla_l u^i) \nabla_\alpha [W_{p_\alpha^i}(u, \nabla u) - u^k u^i V_{p_\alpha^k}(u, \nabla u)] \phi^2 dx \\ &+ \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (u_t^i + v^l \nabla_l u^i) (-W_{u^i}(u, \nabla u) + V_{p_\alpha^k}(u, \nabla u) u^k \nabla_\alpha u^i) \phi^2 dx. \end{aligned}$$

Integration by parts yields

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} u_t^i \nabla_\alpha [W_{p_\alpha^i}(u, \nabla u) - u^k u^i V_{p_\alpha^k}(u, \nabla u)] \phi^2 dx \\ &+ \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} u_t^i (-W_{u^i}(u, \nabla u) + V_{p_\alpha^k}(u, \nabla u) u^k \nabla_\alpha u^i) \phi^2 dx \\ &= - \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} [\nabla_\alpha u_t^i W_{p_\alpha^i}(u, \nabla u) + u_t^i W_{u^i}(u, \nabla u)] \phi^2 dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} u_t^i W_{p_\alpha^i}(u, \nabla u) \nabla_\alpha \phi^2 dx \\ &= - \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} W(u, \nabla u) \phi^2 dx - 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} u_t^i W_{p_\alpha^i}(u, \nabla u) \phi \nabla_\alpha \phi dx. \end{aligned}$$

Integrating by parts twice and using (1.6), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} v^l \nabla_l u^i \nabla_\alpha [W_{p_\alpha^i}(u, \nabla u) - u^k u^i V_{p_\alpha^k}(u, \nabla u)] \phi^2 dx \\
& + \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} v^l \nabla_l u^i (-W_{u^i}(u, \nabla u) + V_{p_\alpha^k}(u, \nabla u) u^k \nabla_\alpha u^i) \phi^2 dx \\
& = - \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (\nabla_\alpha v^l \nabla_l u^i + v^l \nabla_l \nabla_\alpha u^i) W_{p_\alpha^i}(u, \nabla u) \phi^2 dx \\
& - \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (v^l \nabla_l u^i) W_{p_\alpha^i}(u, \nabla u) \nabla_\alpha \phi^2 dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} v^l \nabla_l u^i W_{u^i}(u, \nabla u) \phi^2 dx \\
& = - \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \nabla_\alpha v^l \nabla_l u^i W_{p_\alpha^i}(u, \nabla u) \phi^2 dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (v^l \nabla_l u^i) W_{p_\alpha^i}(u, \nabla u) \nabla_\alpha \phi^2 dx \\
& + 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} v^l W(u, \nabla u) \phi \nabla_l \phi dx.
\end{aligned}$$

Combing above three identities yields

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.12) \quad & \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} W(u, \nabla u) \phi^2 dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |u_t + (v \cdot \nabla) u|^2 \phi^2 dx \\
& = - \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \nabla_\alpha v^k \nabla_k u^i W_{p_\alpha^i}(u, \nabla u) \phi^2 dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (u_t^i + v^k \nabla_k u^i) W_{p_\alpha^i}(u, \nabla u) \nabla_\alpha \phi^2 dx \\
& + 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} v^k W(u, \nabla u) \phi \nabla_k \phi dx \\
& \leq - \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \nabla_\alpha v^k \nabla_k u^i W_{p_\alpha^i}(u, \nabla u) \phi^2 dx + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |u_t + (v \cdot \nabla) u|^2 \phi^2 dx \\
& + C \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla u|^2 |\nabla \phi|^2 dx + 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} v^k W(u, \nabla u) \phi \nabla_k \phi dx.
\end{aligned}$$

Integrating (3.11) and (3.12) in t on $[0, s]$ leads to

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.13) \quad & \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e(u(\cdot, s), v(\cdot, s)) \phi^2 dx + \int_0^s \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (|\nabla v|^2 + \frac{1}{2} |u_t + (v \cdot \nabla) u|^2) \phi^2 dx dt \\
& \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e(u_0, v_0) \phi^2 dx + \int_0^s \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (|v|^2 + |\nabla u|^2 + 2P) v^i \phi \nabla_{x_i} \phi dx dt \\
& + 2 \int_0^s \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (v \cdot \nabla) u^k W_{p_i^k}(u, \nabla u) \phi \nabla_{x_i} \phi dx dt + 2 \int_0^s \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} v^l W(u, \nabla u) \phi \nabla_l \phi dx \\
& + C \int_0^s \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (|v|^2 + |\nabla u|^2) (|\nabla \phi|^2 + |\phi| |\triangle \phi|) dx dt.
\end{aligned}$$

This, together with (3.1), shows immediately that

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.14) \quad & \int_{B_R(x_0)} (|v(\cdot, s)|^2 + |\nabla u(\cdot, s)|^2) dx + \int_0^s \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (|\nabla v|^2 + \frac{1}{2} |u_t + (v \cdot \nabla) u|^2) \phi^2 dx dt \\
& \leq \int_{B_{2R}(x_0)} (|v_0|^2 + |\nabla u_0|^2) dx + C \int_0^s \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (|v|^2 + |\nabla u|^2 + |P|) |v| |\phi| |\nabla \phi| dx dt \\
& + C \frac{s}{R^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (|v_0|^2 + |\nabla u_0|^2) dx.
\end{aligned}$$

It follows from Hölder inequality, (3.1) and (3.9) that

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.15) \quad & \int_0^s \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (|v|^2 + |\nabla u|^2) |v| |\phi| |\nabla \phi| \, dx \, dt \\
 & \leq C \int_0^s \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (|v|^2 + |\nabla u|^2) \frac{|v|}{R} \, dx \, dt \\
 & \leq C \left(\int_0^s \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (|v|^4 + |\nabla u|^4) \, dx \, dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_0^s \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{|v|^2}{R^2} \, dx \, dt \right) \\
 & \leq C \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{s^{\frac{1}{2}}}{R} \left(1 + \frac{s}{R^2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e(u_0, v_0) \, dx.
 \end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.16) \quad & \int_0^s \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |P| |v| |\phi| |\nabla \phi| \, dx \, dt \leq C \int_0^s \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |p| \frac{|v|}{R} \, dx \, dt \\
 & \leq C \left(\int_0^s \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |P|^2 \, dx \, dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_0^s \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{|v|^2}{R^2} \, dx \, dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
 & \leq C \frac{s^{\frac{1}{2}}}{R} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e(u_0, v_0) \, dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_0^s \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |P|^2 \, dx \, dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}
 \end{aligned}$$

for $R \leq R_0$.

On the other hand, it follows from the relation that

$$\triangle P = -\nabla_{x_i x_j} \left[\nabla_{x_i} u^k W_{p_j^k}(u, \nabla u) + v^j v^i \right] \quad \text{on } \mathbb{R}^2 \times (0, T],$$

due to (1.5), and the Calderon-Zygmund estimate (cf. [CKN]) that

$$\int_0^s \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |P|^2 \, dx \, dt \leq C \int_0^s \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (|\nabla u|^4 + |v|^4) \, dx \, dt \leq C \varepsilon_1 \left(1 + \frac{s}{R^2} \right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e(u_0, v_0) \, dx.$$

This, together with (3.16), yields

$$(3.17) \quad \int_0^s \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |P| |v| |\phi| |\nabla \phi| \, dx \, dt \leq C \frac{s^{\frac{1}{2}}}{R} \left(1 + \frac{s}{R^2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \varepsilon_1^{\frac{1}{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e(u_0, v_0) \, dx.$$

The desired estimate (3.10) now follows from (3.14), (3.15) and (3.17). \square

Lemma 10. *Let $u \in V(0, T)$ and $v \in H(0, T)$ be a solution of (1.5)-(1.7) with initial value $(u_0, v_0) \in H_b^1(\mathbb{R}^2, S^2) \times L^2(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{R}^3)$ and $\operatorname{div} v_0 = 0$. Assume that there are constants ε_1 and $R_0 > 0$ such that*

$$\operatorname{ess\,sup}_{0 \leq t \leq T, x \in \mathbb{R}^2} \int_{B_R(x)} |\nabla u(\cdot, t)|^2 + |v(\cdot, t)|^2 \, dx < \varepsilon_1$$

for any $R \in (0, R_0]$. Let τ be any positive constant. Then, for $t \in [\tau, T]$, it holds that

$$(3.18) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla^2 u(x, t)|^2 + |\nabla v(x, t)|^2 \, dx \leq C \tau^{-1} (1 + T R^{-2}).$$

Moreover, u and v are regular for all $t \in (0, T)$.

Proof. Note that, in a-priority, $\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\Delta v|^2$ and $\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla^3 u|^2$ might not be finite. However, by a standard cut-off argument, we can assume that $\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\Delta v|^2$ and $\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla^3 u|^2$ are finite without loss of generality in the following proof.

Multiplying (1.5) by Δv^i and integrating by parts, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.19) \quad & \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla v|^2 dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\Delta v|^2 dx \\
 &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (v \cdot \nabla v^i) \Delta v^i dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \nabla_j [\nabla_i u^k W_{p_j^k}(u, \nabla u)] \Delta v^i dx \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\Delta v|^2 dx + C \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |v \cdot \nabla v|^2 dx + C \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (|\nabla^2 u|^2 + |\nabla u|^4) |\nabla u|^2 dx.
 \end{aligned}$$

Differentiating (1.7) in x_β , multiplying the above equation by $\nabla_\beta \Delta u^i$ and then integrating by parts, one can obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.20) \quad & -\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\Delta u|^2 dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} [(\nabla_\beta v \cdot \nabla) u^i + (v \cdot \nabla) \nabla_\beta u^i] \nabla_\beta \Delta u^i dx \\
 &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} [\nabla_\beta \nabla_\alpha [W_{p_\alpha^i}(u, \nabla u) - u^k u^i V_{p_\alpha^k}(u, \nabla u)] - \nabla_\beta W_{u^i}(u, \nabla u)] \nabla_\beta \Delta u^i dx \\
 &+ \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \nabla_\beta [W_{u^k}(u, \nabla u) u^k u^i + W_{p_\alpha^k}(u, \nabla u) \nabla_\alpha u^k u^i] \nabla_\beta \Delta u^i dx \\
 &+ \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \nabla_\beta [V_{p_\alpha^k}(u, \nabla u) u^k \nabla_\alpha u^i] \nabla_\beta \Delta u^i dx.
 \end{aligned}$$

The first term on the righthand side of (3.20) is a bit more complicated. Since $W(u, p)$ is quadratic in p , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.21) \quad & \nabla_{\gamma\beta}^2 W_{p_\alpha^i}(u, \nabla u) = \nabla_\gamma [W_{u^j p_\alpha^i}(u, \nabla u) \nabla_\beta u^j + W_{p_\alpha^i}(u, \nabla \nabla_\beta u)] \\
 &= W_{u^j p_\alpha^i}(u, \nabla u) \nabla_{\gamma\beta}^2 u^j + W_{u^j u^k p_\alpha^i}(u, \nabla u) \nabla_\gamma u^k \nabla_\beta u^j \\
 &+ W_{p_i^j p_\alpha^i}(u, \nabla \nabla_\beta u) \nabla_{\beta\gamma}^3 u^j + W_{u^j p_\alpha^i}(u, \nabla \nabla_\beta u) \nabla_\gamma u^j.
 \end{aligned}$$

Then, integrating by parts and using Young's inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.22) \quad & \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \nabla_\beta \nabla_\alpha W_{p_\alpha^i}(u, \nabla u) \nabla_\beta \Delta u^i dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \nabla_{\gamma\beta}^2 W_{p_\alpha^i}(u, \nabla u) \nabla_{\gamma\beta\alpha}^3 u^i dx \\
 &\geq \frac{a}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla^3 u|^2 dx - C \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla u|^2 (|\nabla u|^4 + |\nabla^2 u|^2) dx.
 \end{aligned}$$

Note that $|u|^2 = 1$ implies

$$u^i \nabla_\beta \Delta u^i + \nabla_\beta u^i \Delta u^i = -\nabla_\beta |\nabla u|^2.$$

By this identity, one can estimate the second term and the last term on the right-hand of (3.20) as follows:

(3.23)

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \nabla_\beta [\nabla_\alpha (u^k u^i V_{p_\alpha^k}(u, \nabla u)) - V_{p_\alpha^k}(u, \nabla u) u^k \nabla_\alpha u^i] \nabla_\beta \triangle u^i dx \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \nabla_{\alpha\beta}^2 (u^k V_{p_\alpha^k}(u, \nabla u)) u^i \nabla_\beta \triangle u^i dx \\
&\quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \nabla_\beta u^i \nabla_\alpha (u^k V_{p_\alpha^k}(u, \nabla u)) \nabla_\beta \triangle u^i dx \\
&\leq \frac{a}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla^3 u|^2 dx + C \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla u|^2 (|\nabla^2 u|^2 + |\nabla u|^4) dx.
\end{aligned}$$

The other terms can be estimated easily in (3.20). Then it follows from (3.20)-(3.23) that

(3.24)

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\triangle u|^2 dx + \frac{a}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla^3 u|^2 dx \\
&\leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla v|^2 |\nabla u|^2 + |v|^2 |\nabla^2 u|^2 + (|\nabla^2 u|^2 + |\nabla u|^4) |\nabla u|^2 dx.
\end{aligned}$$

It follows from $-u \cdot \triangle u = |\nabla u|^2$, (3.18) and (3.24) that

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.25) \quad & \frac{d}{dt} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla^2 u|^2 + |\nabla v|^2 \right) + \frac{a}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (|\nabla^3 u|^2 + |\nabla^2 v|^2) dx \\
&\leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (|v|^2 + |\nabla u|^2) (|\nabla v|^2 + |\nabla^2 u|^2) dx.
\end{aligned}$$

By the Gagliardo-Nirenberg-Sobolev inequality, one has

$$\begin{aligned}
& C \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (|v|^2 + |\nabla u|^2) (|\nabla v|^2 + |\nabla^2 u|^2) dx \\
&\leq C \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (|v|^4 + |\nabla u|^4) dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (|\nabla v|^4 + |\nabla^2 u|^4) dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&\leq C \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (|\nabla v|^2 + |\nabla^2 u|^2) dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (|\nabla^2 v|^2 + |\nabla^3 u|^2) dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&\quad \cdot \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (|v|^4 + |\nabla u|^4) dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&\leq \frac{a}{8} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (|\nabla^2 v|^2 + |\nabla^3 u|^2) dx + \left(C \int_{\mathbb{R}^4} (|v|^4 + |\nabla u|^4) dx \right) \\
&\quad \cdot \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (|\nabla v|^2 + |\nabla^2 u|^2) dx \right).
\end{aligned}$$

This, together with (3.25), shows that for $t \in (0, T)$,

(3.26)

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (|\nabla v(x, t)|^2 + |\nabla^2 u(x, t)|^2) dx + \frac{a}{8} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (|\nabla^2 v(x, t)|^2 + |\nabla^3 u(x, t)|^2) dx \\
&\leq \left(C \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (|\nabla u|^4 + |v|^4) dx \right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (|\nabla v(x, t)|^2 + |\nabla^2 u(x, t)|^2) dx.
\end{aligned}$$

It follows from (3.9), (3.26), and Gronwall's inequality that for any s and t with $\tau \leq s < t \leq T$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.27) \quad & \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (|\nabla v|^2 + |\nabla^2 u|)(x, t) dx \\
 & \leq \left(e^{C \int_s^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (|\nabla u|^4 + |v|^4)(x, t) dx dl} \right) \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (|\nabla v|^2 + |\nabla^2 u|^2)(x, s) dx \right) \\
 & \leq \left(e^{C \varepsilon_1 (1+TR^{-2})} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e(u_0, v_0) dx \right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (|\nabla v|^2 + |\nabla^2 u|^2)(x, s) dx
 \end{aligned}$$

Thanks to (3.8), (3.27), and the mean value theorem, we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.28) \quad & \sup_{\tau \leq t \leq T} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (|\nabla^2 u| + |\nabla v|)(\cdot, t) dx \\
 & \leq C \tau^{-1} (1 + TR^{-2}) E(u_0, v_0) e^{C \varepsilon_1 (1+TR^{-2}) E(u_0, v_0)}
 \end{aligned}$$

for any $\tau > 0$. Then, by a similar proof as in Lemma 4, we can show that u belongs to $C^{1/8}(\mathbb{R}^2 \times [\tau, T])$ for any $\tau > 0$. In the appendix below (Section 5), we can show that (u, v) is regular for all $t \in (0, T]$. \square

Remark. Let $u \in V(0, T)$ and $v \in H(0, T)$ be a solution of (1.5)-(1.7) with initial values $u_0 \in H_b^2(\mathbb{R}^2; S^2)$, $v_0 \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^2; \mathbb{R}^2)$ and $\operatorname{div} v_0 = 0$. Assume that there are constants ε_1 and $R_0 > 0$ such that

$$\operatorname{ess\,sup}_{0 \leq t \leq T, x \in \mathbb{R}^2} \int_{B_R(x)} |\nabla u(\cdot, t)|^2 + |v(\cdot, t)|^2 dx < \varepsilon_1$$

for any $R \in (0, R_0]$. Then, for $t \in [0, T]$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.29) \quad & \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (|\nabla^2 u(x, t)|^2 + |\nabla v(x, t)|^2) dx \\
 & \leq C_3 (1 + TR^{-2}) E(u_0, v_0) e^{C \varepsilon_1 (1+TR^{-2}) E(u_0, v_0)},
 \end{aligned}$$

with $C_3 = C(\|u_0\|_{H_b^2} + \|v_0\|_{H^1})$.

We are not able to prove the uniqueness of solutions to (1.5)-(1.9) for initial value in $H^1 \times L^2$ as one in [St1; Lemma 3.12]. However, we obtain

Lemma 11. Let $(u_1, v_1), (u_2, v_2) \in V(0, T) \times H(0, T)$ be two smooth solutions of (1.5)-(1.7) with smooth initial values $(u_0, v_0) \in H_b^2(\mathbb{R}^2; S^2) \times H^1(\mathbb{R}^2; \mathbb{R}^2)$ and $\operatorname{div} v_0 = 0$. Then $(u_1, v_1) = (u_2, v_2)$.

Proof. Following the proof of Proposition 15 in the Appendix, we can assume that

$$|\nabla u_1| + |\nabla u_2| + |v_1| + |v_2| \leq C$$

for a constant $C > 0$. For simplicity, we set in (1.7)

$$\begin{aligned}
 B(u, \nabla u) &:= -W_{u^i}(u, \nabla u) + W_{u^k}(u, \nabla u) u^k u^i + W_{p_\alpha^k}(u, \nabla u) \nabla_\alpha u^k u^i \\
 &\quad + V_{p_\alpha^k}(u, \nabla u) u^k \nabla_\alpha u^i
 \end{aligned}$$

It follows from (1.7) that

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.30) \quad & \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |(u_1 - u_2)|^2 dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (W_{p_\alpha^i}(u_1, \nabla u_1) - W_{p_\alpha^i}(u_2, \nabla u_2)) \nabla_\alpha (u_1^i - u_2^i) dx \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (u_1^j u_1^i V_{p_\alpha^j}(u_1, \nabla u_1) - u_2^j u_2^i V_{p_\alpha^j}(u_2, \nabla u_2)) \nabla_\alpha (u_1^i - u_2^i) dx \\
&\quad - \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} [-B(u_1, \nabla u_1) + B(u_2, \nabla u_2) + (v_1 \cdot \nabla u_1) - (v_2 \cdot \nabla u_2)] \cdot (u_1 - u_2) dx \\
&:= I_5 + I_6.
\end{aligned}$$

By Young's inequality, the last term on the right hand of the above identity can be estimated as

$$\begin{aligned}
I_6 &= - \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} [-B(u_1, \nabla u_1) + B(u_2, \nabla u_2) + (v_1 \cdot \nabla u_1) - (v_2 \cdot \nabla u_2)] \cdot (u_1 - u_2) dx \\
&\leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |u_1 - u_2|^2 + |v_1 - v_2|^2 dx + \frac{a}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla(u_1 - u_2)|^2 dx.
\end{aligned}$$

The difficult part is to estimate I_5 . Using an uniform open ball covering of \mathbb{R}^2 , we can estimate only the local integral

$$\int_{B_{r_0}(x_0)} (u_1^j u_1^i V_{p_\alpha^j}(u_1, \nabla u_1) - u_2^j u_2^i V_{p_\alpha^j}(u_2, \nabla u_2)) \nabla_\alpha (u_1^i - u_2^i) dx.$$

Now we can think about in the equation (1.7) with $\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_3} = 0$ in a domain of \mathbb{R}^3 . After a rotation $\mathcal{R} \in O(3)$, the integrand (1.2) has the following invariant property:

$$W(\mathcal{R}u, \mathcal{R}\nabla u \mathcal{R}^T) = W(u, \nabla u).$$

Therefore, the system (1.5)-(1.7) is invariant for a rotation. Without loss of generality, we can assume that $u_0(x_0) = (0, 0, 1)$. Since u_1 and u_2 are uniformly continuous in $(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \times [0, \tau]$ for some $\tau > 0$, there exists a constant $r_0 > 0$ such that for any $(x, t) \in B_{r_0}(x_0) \times [0, \tau]$

$$|u_1(x, t) - u_0(x_0)| \leq \varepsilon, \quad |u_2(x, t) - u_0(x_0)| \leq \varepsilon.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{B_{r_0}(x_0)} (u_1^j u_1^i V_{p_\alpha^j}(u_1, \nabla u_1 - \nabla u_2) \nabla_\alpha (u_1^i - u_2^i) dx \\
& \leq C\varepsilon \int_{B_{r_0}(x_0)} |\nabla(u_1 - u_2)|^2 dx + C \int_{B_{r_0}(x_0)} |\nabla(u_1^3 - u_2^3)|^2 dx.
\end{aligned}$$

It follows from $|u| = 1$ that

$$u^3 \nabla_l u^3 = -u^1 \nabla_l u^1 - u^2 \nabla_l u^2.$$

Then an elementary calculation shows that

$$|\nabla(u_1^3 - u_2^3)| \leq C|u_1 - u_2| + C\varepsilon|\nabla(u_1 - u_2)|.$$

By a covering argument, we apply all above estimates to obtain

$$I_5 \leq C\varepsilon \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla(u_1 - u_2)|^2 dx + C \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |(u_1 - u_2)|^2 dx.$$

Therefore, choosing ε sufficiently small yields

$$(3.31) \quad \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |(u_1 - u_2)|^2 dx + \frac{a}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla(u_1 - u_2)|^2 dx \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |(u_1 - u_2)|^2 + |v_1 - v_2|^2 dx$$

Using (1.5) and (1.6), one can obtain

$$(3.32) \quad \begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |v_1 - v_2|^2 dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla(v_1 - v_2)|^2 dx \\ & \leq \tilde{C} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (|v_1 - v_2|^2 + |u_1 - u_2|^2 + |\nabla(u_1 - u_2)|^2) dx + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla(v_1 - v_2)|^2 dx. \end{aligned}$$

Combining (3.31) with (3.32) gives

$$(3.33) \quad \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (\tilde{C}|u_1 - u_2|^2 + \frac{a}{4}|v_1 - v_2|^2) dx \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (\tilde{C}|u_1 - u_2|^2 + \frac{a}{4}|v_1 - v_2|^2) dx.$$

Integrating (3.33) in t and applying the Gronwall inequality, we conclude

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (\tilde{C}|u_1 - u_2|^2 + \frac{a}{4}|v_1 - v_2|^2)(\cdot, t) dt \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (\tilde{C}|u_1 - u_2|^2 + \frac{a}{4}|v_1 - v_2|^2)(\cdot, 0) dt = 0.$$

This proves our claim. \square

4. Local existence and Proof of Theorem B

In this section, we prove local existence of solutions of (1.5)-(1.7) and complete the proof of Theorem B. Recall the notation that $\tilde{V}(\tau, t)$ denotes the space $V(\tau, t)$ where S^2 is replaced by \mathbb{R}^3 .

Lemma 12. *For a pair $(u_0, v_0) \in H_b^1(\mathbb{R}^2, S^2) \times L^2(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{R}^2)$ with $\operatorname{div} v_0 = 0$ in \mathbb{R}^2 in the sense of distribution, there is a local regular solution $(u_\varepsilon, v_\varepsilon) \in \tilde{V}(0, T) \times H(0, T)$ of (1.10)-(1.12) with initial data (1.9) for some $T > 0$.*

Proof. Although Lin-Liu proved only the global existence of the solution to (1.10)-(1.12) with initial data (1.9) for the case of $k_1 = k_2 = k_3$, their proofs still work for the local existence for the system (1.10)-(1.12). Thus we omit the details and refer readers to [LL1] and [LL2]. \square

Theorem 13. (Local existence) *For a pair $(u_0, v_0) \in H_b^1(\mathbb{R}^2, S^2) \times L^2(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{R}^3)$ with $\operatorname{div} v_0 = 0$ in \mathbb{R}^2 in the sense of distribution, there is a local solution $(u, v) \in V(0, t_1) \times H(0, t_1)$ of (1.5)-(1.7) with initial value (u_0, v_0) for some $t_1 > 0$.*

Proof. For any map $u_0 \in H_b^1(\mathbb{R}^2, S^2)$, one can approximate it by a sequence of smooth maps in $H_b^1(\mathbb{R}^2, S^2)$. Without loss of generality, we assume that $u_0 \in H_b^2(\mathbb{R}^2, S^2)$ and $v_0 \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{R}^3)$ with $\operatorname{div} v_0 = 0$ in \mathbb{R}^2 are smooth. Then thanks to Lemma 12, there is a local regular solution $(u_\varepsilon, v_\varepsilon) \in \tilde{V} \times H$ of (1.10)-(1.12) with initial data (1.9).

For each pair (u, v) , set

$$e_\varepsilon(u, v) = W(u, \nabla u) + \frac{1}{2\varepsilon^2}(1 - |u|^2)^2 + |v|^2, \quad E(u, v) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e_\varepsilon(u, v) dx.$$

Then same calculations as for (3.1) give

$$(4.1) \quad E(u_\varepsilon(\cdot, t), v_\varepsilon(\cdot, t)) + 2 \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (|\partial_t u_\varepsilon + (v_\varepsilon \cdot \nabla u_\varepsilon)u_\varepsilon|^2 + |\nabla v_\varepsilon|^2) dx dt = E(u_0, v_0).$$

By a similar analysis as in the proof of Lemma 8 and Lemma 7, one can show that there exist uniform positive constants R_0 and ε_1 , and a positive time $T_\varepsilon = T(\varepsilon, R_0, \varepsilon_1)$ such that the problem (1.10)-(1.12) with initial data (1.9) has a regular solution $(u_\varepsilon, v_\varepsilon) \in \tilde{V}(0, T_\varepsilon) \times H(0, T_\varepsilon)$ for each fixed $\varepsilon > 0$, and furthermore, it holds that

$$(4.2) \quad \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T_\varepsilon} \int_{B_R(x_0)} |\nabla u_\varepsilon(\cdot, t)|^2 + |v_\varepsilon(\cdot, t)|^2 + \frac{1}{2\varepsilon^2}(1 - |u_\varepsilon(\cdot, t)|^2)^2 dx < \varepsilon_1$$

for any positive $R \leq R_0$.

Next, we will show that there is a constant $t_1 > 0$, independently of ε , such that $T_\varepsilon \geq t_1$ and the solutions $(u_\varepsilon, v_\varepsilon)$ is bounded in $\tilde{V}(0, t_1) \times H(0, t_1)$ uniformly in ε .

First, we claim that for all $t \in [0, \min\{1, T_\varepsilon\}]$

$$(4.3) \quad \frac{1}{2} \leq |u_\varepsilon(x, t)| \leq \frac{3}{2}.$$

To verify (4.3), we re-scale the solution by

$$\tilde{u}(x, t) = u_\varepsilon(\varepsilon x, \varepsilon^2 t), \quad \tilde{v}(x, t) = \varepsilon v_\varepsilon(\varepsilon x, \varepsilon^2 t), \quad \tilde{P}(x, t) = \varepsilon^2 P_\varepsilon(\varepsilon x, \varepsilon^2 t).$$

Then (\tilde{u}, \tilde{v}) solves the following approximate Ericksen-Leslie system

$$(4.4) \quad \tilde{v}_t^i + (\tilde{v} \cdot \nabla) \tilde{v}^i - \Delta \tilde{v}^i + \nabla_{x_i} \tilde{P} = -\nabla_{x_j} (\nabla_{x_i} \tilde{u}^k W_{p_j^k}(\tilde{u}, \nabla \tilde{u})),$$

$$(4.5) \quad \nabla \cdot \tilde{v} = 0,$$

$$(4.6) \quad \tilde{u}_t^i + (\tilde{v} \cdot \nabla) \tilde{u}^i = \nabla_\alpha [W_{p_\alpha^i}(\tilde{u}, \nabla \tilde{u})] - W_{u^i}(\tilde{u}, \nabla \tilde{u}) + \tilde{u}^i(1 - |\tilde{u}|^2)$$

for $i = 1, 2, 3$, with initial data

$$(4.7) \quad \tilde{v}(x, 0) = \tilde{v}_0(x), \quad \tilde{u}(x, 0) = \tilde{u}_0(x), \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^2,$$

where $\tilde{u}_0(x) = u_0(\varepsilon x)$ and $\tilde{v}_0(x) = \varepsilon v_0(\varepsilon x)$ satisfy

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e(\tilde{u}_0(x), \tilde{v}_0(x)) dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e(u_0(x), v_0(x)) dx.$$

The condition (4.2) becomes

$$(4.8) \quad \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{0 \leq t \leq \frac{T_\varepsilon}{\varepsilon^2}, x \in \mathbb{R}^2} \int_{B_{\frac{R}{\varepsilon}}(x)} |\nabla \tilde{u}(\cdot, t)|^2 + \frac{1}{2}(|1 - |\tilde{u}(\cdot, t)|^2|^2 + |\tilde{v}|^2) dx < \varepsilon_1$$

for any $R \in (0, R_0]$. While the basic energy identity (4.1) becomes

$$(4.9) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left[W(\tilde{u}, \nabla \tilde{u}) + \frac{1}{2}(1 - |\tilde{u}|^2)^2 \right] (\cdot, t) dx \\ + \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (|\partial_t \tilde{u}|^2 + |\partial_t \tilde{u} + (\tilde{v} \cdot \nabla) \tilde{u}|^2)(\cdot, t) dx dt = E(u_0, v_0)$$

for all $t \in (0, \frac{T_\varepsilon}{\varepsilon^2})$.

Without loss of generality, we assume $T_\varepsilon \leq 1$. Let τ be the maximal time in $[0, \frac{T_\varepsilon}{\varepsilon^2}]$ such that

$$(4.10) \quad \frac{1}{4} \leq |\tilde{u}(x, t)| \leq 2.$$

By (4.1) and similar arguments as for Lemma 8, one can derive from (4.4)-(4.6) that there exists a uniform constant C_0 such that

$$(4.11) \quad C_0 \int_0^\tau \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (|\nabla^2 \tilde{u}|^2 + |\nabla \tilde{v}|^2) dx dt \\ \leq - \int_0^\tau \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \Delta \tilde{u} \cdot \tilde{u}(1 - |\tilde{u}|^2) dx dt + C \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla u_0|^2 + |v_0|^2 dx \\ + C \int_0^\tau \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla \tilde{u}|^4 + |\tilde{v}|^4 dx dt.$$

Integration by parts yields

$$(4.12) \quad - \int_0^\tau \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \Delta \tilde{u} \cdot \tilde{u}(1 - |\tilde{u}|^2) dx dt \\ = \int_0^\tau \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla \tilde{u}|^2 (1 - |\tilde{u}|^2) dx dt - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^\tau \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla |\tilde{u}|^2|^2 dx dt$$

By Young's inequality and using (4.1), (4.6) and (4.10), one can obtain

$$(4.13) \quad \begin{aligned} \int_0^\tau \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla \tilde{u}|^2 (1 - |\tilde{u}|^2) dx dt &\leq \eta \int_0^\tau \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (1 - |\tilde{u}|^2)^2 dx dt + C \int_0^\tau \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla \tilde{u}|^4 dx dt \\ &\leq \eta \int_0^\tau \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla^2 u|^2 dx dt + C \int_0^\tau \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla \tilde{u}|^4 dx dt + C \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (|\nabla u_0|^2 + |v_0|^2) dx \end{aligned}$$

for a small constant η .

Combining (4.11)-(4.13) and choosing ε_1 sufficiently small in (4.2) with Lemma 2, we conclude that

$$(4.14) \quad \int_0^\tau \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (|\nabla^2 \tilde{u}|^2 + |\nabla \tilde{v}|^2) dx dt + \int_0^\tau \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (1 - |\tilde{u}|^2)^2 dx dt \leq C(1 + \frac{\tau \varepsilon^2}{R^2}) \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e(u_0, v_0) dx$$

for any $R \leq R_0$.

It follows also from Lemma 2, (4.8), (4.9), and (4.14) that

$$(4.15) \quad \int_0^\tau \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (|\nabla \tilde{u}|^4 + |\tilde{v}|^4) dx dt \leq C \varepsilon_1 \left(1 + \frac{\tau \varepsilon^2}{R^2}\right) E(u_0, v_0)$$

for any $R \leq R_0$.

Now following the calculation for (3.25), one can derive that for any $t \in (0, \tau)$,

$$(4.16) \quad \begin{aligned} &\frac{d}{dt} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (|\nabla^2 \tilde{u}|^2 + |\nabla \tilde{v}|^2)(\cdot, t) dx \right) + \frac{a}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (|\nabla^3 \tilde{u}|^2 + |\nabla^2 \tilde{v}|^2)(\cdot, t) dx \\ &\leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (|\tilde{v}|^2 + |\nabla \tilde{u}|^2)(|\nabla \tilde{v}|^2 + |\nabla^2 \tilde{u}|^2)(\cdot, t) dx \\ &\quad + C \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \nabla_\beta (\tilde{u}^i (1 - |\tilde{u}|^2)) \cdot \nabla_\beta \Delta \tilde{u}^i dx \right| + C \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla \tilde{u}|^6(\cdot, t) dx. \end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$(4.17) \quad \begin{aligned} &C \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \nabla_\beta (\tilde{u}^i (1 - |\tilde{u}|^2)) \cdot \nabla_\beta \Delta \tilde{u}^i dx \right| \\ &= C \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \Delta (\tilde{u}^i (1 - |\tilde{u}|^2)) \cdot \Delta \tilde{u}^i dx \right| \\ &\leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla^2 \tilde{u}(\cdot, t)|^2 dx + C \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla \tilde{u}(\cdot, t)|^4 dx \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(4.18) \quad \begin{aligned} C \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla \tilde{u}(\cdot, t)|^6 dx &= -C \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla \tilde{u}(\cdot, t)|^4 \tilde{u} \cdot \Delta \tilde{u} dx - C \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \nabla_\alpha (|\nabla \tilde{u}|^4) \tilde{u} \cdot \nabla_\alpha \tilde{u} dx \\ &\leq \frac{C}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla \tilde{u}(\cdot, t)|^6 dx + C \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla \tilde{u}(\cdot, t)|^2 |\nabla^2 \tilde{u}(\cdot, t)| dx. \end{aligned}$$

It follows from (4.16)-(4.18) that

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (|\nabla^2 \tilde{u}|^2 + |\nabla \tilde{v}|^2)(\cdot, t) dx \right) + \frac{a}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (|\nabla^3 \tilde{u}|^2 + |\nabla^2 \tilde{v}|^2)(\cdot, t) dx \\ & \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (|\tilde{v}|^2 + |\nabla \tilde{u}|^2)(|\nabla \tilde{v}|^2 + |\nabla^2 \tilde{u}|^2) dx + C \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (|\nabla^2 \tilde{u}(\cdot, t)|^2 + |\nabla \tilde{u}(\cdot, t)|^4) dx \right) \end{aligned}$$

Using the Gagliardo-Nirenberg-Sobolev's inequality, one can get

$$\begin{aligned} & C \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (|\tilde{v}|^2 + |\nabla \tilde{u}|^2)(|\nabla \tilde{v}|^2 + |\nabla^2 \tilde{u}|^2) dx \\ & \leq C \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (|\tilde{v}|^4 + |\nabla \tilde{u}|^4) dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (|\nabla \tilde{v}|^4 + |\nabla^2 \tilde{u}|^4) dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ & \leq C \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (|\nabla \tilde{v}|^2 + |\nabla^2 \tilde{u}|^2) dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (|\nabla^2 \tilde{v}|^2 + |\nabla^3 \tilde{u}|^2) dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ & \quad \cdot \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (|\tilde{v}|^4 + |\nabla \tilde{u}|^4) dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ & \leq \frac{a}{8} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (|\nabla^2 \tilde{v}|^2 + |\nabla^3 \tilde{u}|^2) dx + \left(C \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (|\tilde{v}|^4 + |\nabla \tilde{u}|^4) dx \right) \\ & \quad \cdot \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (|\nabla \tilde{v}|^2 + |\nabla^2 \tilde{u}|^2) dx. \end{aligned}$$

This, together with (4.18), shows that

$$\begin{aligned} (4.19) \quad & \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (|\nabla^2 \tilde{u}|^2 + |\nabla \tilde{v}|^2)(\cdot, t) dx + \frac{a}{8} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (|\nabla^3 \tilde{u}|^2 + |\nabla^2 \tilde{v}|^2)(\cdot, t) dx \\ & \leq \left(C \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (|\tilde{v}|^4 + |\nabla \tilde{u}|^4)(\cdot, t) dx \right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (|\nabla^2 \tilde{u}|^2 + |\nabla \tilde{v}|^2)(\cdot, t) dx + h(t) \end{aligned}$$

with

$$(4.20) \quad h(t) = C \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (|\nabla^2 \tilde{u}|^2 + |\nabla \tilde{u}|^4)(\cdot, t) dx.$$

It then follows from (4.14), (4.15), (4.19)-(4.20), and Gronwall's inequality that for all $t \in (0, \tau)$,

$$\begin{aligned} (4.21) \quad & \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (|\nabla^2 \tilde{u}|^2 + |\nabla \tilde{v}|^2)(\cdot, t) dx \\ & \leq e^{C \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (|\tilde{v}|^4 + |\nabla \tilde{u}|^4)(\cdot, l) dx dl} \cdot \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (|\nabla^2 u_0|^2 + |\nabla v_0|^2) dx \\ & \quad + \int_0^t e^{C \int_s^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (|\nabla \tilde{u}|^4 + |\tilde{v}|^4)(\cdot, l) dx dl} \cdot h(s) ds \\ & \leq e^{C \varepsilon_1 (1 + \frac{\tau \varepsilon^2}{R^2}) E(u_0, v_0)} \left(\|u_0\|_{H^2}^2 + \|v_0\|_{H^1}^2 + \int_0^t h(s) ds \right) \\ & \leq \left(\|u_0\|_{H^2}^2 + \|v_0\|_{H^1}^2 + C \left(1 + \frac{\tau \varepsilon^2}{R^2} \right) E(u_0, v_0) \right) e^{C \varepsilon_1 (1 + \frac{\tau \varepsilon^2}{R^2}) E(u_0, v_0)}. \end{aligned}$$

Suppose that there is a $x_1 \in \mathbb{R}^2$ such that $|\tilde{u}(x_1, t)| < 1/2$ (or $|\tilde{u}(x_1, t)| > \frac{3}{2}$) with some $t \in [0, \tau]$. It follows from (4.21) that \tilde{u} is $C^{\frac{1}{8}}$ -continuous uniformly in (x, t) . Then, there is a constant C_4 so that for $x \in B_{1/4C_4}(x_1)$ with $\frac{1}{4C_4} < \frac{R_0}{\varepsilon}$, we have

$$(1 - |\tilde{u}(x, t)|^2)^2 \geq \frac{1}{2}.$$

Then

$$\frac{1}{2} \int_{B_{\frac{1}{4C_4}}(x_1)} (1 - |\tilde{u}(x, t)|^2)^2 dx \geq \frac{1}{8} |B_{\frac{1}{4C_4}}(0)| > \varepsilon_1$$

which contradicts (4.8) for a sufficiently small ε_1 . This shows that our claim (4.3) holds for all $t \in [0, \frac{T_\varepsilon}{\varepsilon}]$.

Finally, we show that $(u_\varepsilon, v_\varepsilon)$ is bounded in $\tilde{V}(0, \min\{T_\varepsilon, 1\}) \times H(0, \min\{T_\varepsilon, 1\})$ uniformly for any positive $\varepsilon < 4C_4R_0$.

For any $t \leq \min(1, T_\varepsilon)$, it follows from (4.14) and (4.15) that

(4.22)

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (|\nabla^2 u_\varepsilon|^2 + |\nabla v_\varepsilon|^2)(x, t) dx dt + \frac{1}{4\varepsilon^4} \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (1 - |u_\varepsilon|^2)^2 dx dt \\ & \leq C \left(1 + \frac{t}{R^2}\right) E(u_0, v_0), \end{aligned}$$

(4.23)

$$\int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (|\nabla u_\varepsilon|^4 + |v_\varepsilon|^4)(x, t) dx dt \leq C \varepsilon_1 \left(1 + \frac{t}{R^2}\right) E(u_0, v_0).$$

Let φ be the cut-off function as in the proof of Lemma 9. Then by a similar analysis as in (3.14)-(3.17) and using (4.22)-(4.23), one can get

(4.24)

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e(u_\varepsilon(\cdot, t), v_\varepsilon(\cdot, t)) \varphi^2 dx + \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (|\nabla v_\varepsilon|^2 + \frac{1}{2} |\partial_t u_\varepsilon + v_\varepsilon \cdot \nabla u_\varepsilon|^2) \varphi^2 dx dt \\ & \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e(u_0, v_0) \varphi^2 dx + C \frac{t^{\frac{1}{2}}}{R} \left(1 + \frac{t}{R^2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} E(u_0, v_0) \\ & \quad + \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{1}{2\varepsilon^2} (1 - |u_\varepsilon|^2)^2 |v_\varepsilon \cdot \nabla(\varphi^2)| dx dt. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand,

(4.25)

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{1}{2\varepsilon^2} (1 - |u_\varepsilon|^2)^2 |v_\varepsilon \cdot \nabla(\varphi^2)| dx dt \\ & \leq C \left(\int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{1}{4\varepsilon^4} (1 - |u_\varepsilon|^2)^4 dx dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{|v_\varepsilon|^2}{R^2} dx dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ & \leq C \frac{t^{\frac{1}{2}}}{R} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{1}{4\varepsilon^4} (1 - |u_\varepsilon|^2)^2 dx dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} (E(u_0, v_0))^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ & \leq C \frac{t^{\frac{1}{2}}}{R} \left(1 + \frac{t}{R^2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} E(u_0, v_0) \end{aligned}$$

where one has used (4.1) and (4.22).

Hence,

$$(4.26) \quad \int_{B_R(x_0)} e_\varepsilon(u_\varepsilon(x, t), v_\varepsilon(x, t)) dx \leq \int_{B_{RR}(x_0)} e(u_0, v_0) dx + C \frac{t^{\frac{1}{2}}}{R} \left(1 + \frac{t}{R^2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} E(u_0, v_0)$$

for any $R \leq R_0$. First, choosing $R_1 > 0$ so that

$$(4.27) \quad \int_{B_{2R_1}(x_0)} e(u_0, v_0) dx < \frac{\varepsilon_1}{2}$$

for all $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^2$. Then, set

$$(4.28) \quad t_1 = \min \left\{ R_1, \frac{\varepsilon_1 R_1}{4C(\varepsilon_1 + E(u_0, v_0))} \right\}$$

Then for $t \leq t_1$,

$$\int_{B_R(x_0)} e_\varepsilon(u_\varepsilon(x, t), v_\varepsilon(x, t)) dx < \varepsilon_1$$

for all $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^2$ and $R \leq R_1$. Consequently, we have shown that there is a uniform $t_1 \leq \min\{T_\varepsilon, 1\}$ such that $(u_\varepsilon, v_\varepsilon)$ is bounded in $\tilde{V}(0, t_1) \times H(0, t_1)$ with t_1 independent of ε . Letting $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, we can prove the local existence of solution $(u, v) \in V(0, t_1) \times H(0, t_1)$ with initial data (1.9). \square

Now we complete the proof of Theorem B.

Proof of Theorem B. By Theorem 13, there is a local solution $(u, v) \in V(0, t_1) \times H(0, t_1)$ of (1.5)-(1.7) in $\mathbb{R}^2 \times [0, t_1]$ with initial conditions (1.9) for some $t_1 > 0$. By Lemmas 10-11, the solution can be extended in $[0, T_1]$ for a maximal times T_1 such that at T_1 , there is at least a singular point $x_i^1 \in \mathbb{R}^2$ such that

$$\limsup_{t \nearrow T_1} \int_{B_R(x_i^1)} e(u, v)(\cdot, t) dx \geq \varepsilon_0$$

for any $R \leq R_0$ for some $R_0 > 0$ and $\varepsilon_0 > 0$. It is easy to see the solution $(u, v) \in V \times H$ is regular for all $t \in (0, T_1)$. Then there exists a sequence of $\{t_n\}$ such that the sequence $(u(t_n), v(t_n))$ converges weakly to $(u(T_1), v(T_1))$ in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^2; S^2) \times L^2(\mathbb{R}^2; \mathbb{R}^3)$ satisfying

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e(u(T_1), v(T_1)) dx \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e(u_0, v_0) dx - \varepsilon_0, \quad \operatorname{div} v(T_1) = 0.$$

Using the energy identity, there is a finite number of singular times $\{T_l\}_{l=1}^L$ in Theorem B. \square

5. Appendix: The liquid crystal flow and regularity issue

In this section, we formulate the liquid crystal heat flow and discuss $C^{1,\alpha}$ -regularity issues for solutions of the liquid crystal flow (1.4) and the system (1.5)-(1.7).

The liquid crystal equilibrium system in a form of vectors and tensors was derived by Hardt, Lin and Kinderlehrer in [HLK] using the Lagrange multiplier method, but we need a precise form of (1.3) in coordinates.

Let ϕ be a smooth functional in $C_0^\infty(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^3)$. We consider a variation

$$u_t(x) = \frac{u + t\phi}{|u(x) + t\phi(x)|} = \frac{u + t\phi}{(1 + 2tu \cdot \phi + t^2\phi^2)^{1/2}}$$

and compute

$$\frac{du_t}{dt} = \phi - \frac{(u + t\phi)(u \cdot \phi + t|\phi|^2)}{(1 + 2tu \cdot \phi + t^2\phi^2)^{1/2}}.$$

To derive the Euler-Lagrange equations, we compute

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} W(u_t, \nabla u_t) dx \Big|_{t=0} = 0.$$

This implies

$$\int_{\Omega} \left(W_{u^j} \frac{du_t^j}{dt} + W_{p_\alpha^i} \frac{d\nabla_\alpha u_t^i}{dt} \right) \Big|_{t=0} dx = 0,$$

where $W_{p_\alpha^i}(u, p) = \frac{\partial W}{\partial p_\alpha^i}$ and $W_{u^i} = \frac{\partial W}{\partial u^i}$. Note

$$\frac{du_t^i}{dt} \Big|_{t=0} = \phi^i - u^i(u \cdot \phi), \quad \frac{d\nabla_\alpha u_t^i}{dt} \Big|_{t=0} = \nabla_\alpha \phi^i - \nabla_\alpha u^i(u \cdot \phi) - u^i \nabla_\alpha(u \cdot \phi).$$

We conclude that

$$(5.1) \quad \int_{\Omega} W_{u^j}(u, \nabla u) [\phi^j - u^j(u \cdot \phi)] \\ + W_{p_\alpha^i}(u, \nabla u) [\nabla_\alpha \phi^i - \nabla_\alpha u^i(u \cdot \phi) - u^i \nabla_\alpha(u \cdot \phi)] dx = 0$$

for any $\phi \in C_0^\infty(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^3)$. Therefore, we call that $u \in H^1(\Omega, S^2)$ is a weak solution to the liquid crystal system if u satisfies

$$- \nabla_\alpha [W_{p_\alpha^i}(u, \nabla u) - u^k u^i W_{p_\alpha^k}(u, \nabla u)] + W_{u^i}(u, \nabla u) \\ - W_{u^k}(u, \nabla u) u^k u^i - W_{p_\alpha^k}(u, \nabla u) \nabla_\alpha u^k u^i - W_{p_\alpha^k}(u, \nabla u) u^k \nabla_\alpha u^i = 0$$

in the sense of distribution. Note $|u|^2 = 1$, then $u^i \nabla u^i = 0$. This system is the exact form of (1.3).

Then, the liquid crystal flow can be formulated as in (1.4), i.e.,

$$\frac{\partial u^i}{\partial t} = \nabla_\alpha [W_{p_\alpha^i}(u, \nabla u) - u^k u^i W_{p_\alpha^k}(u, \nabla u)] - W_{u^i}(u, \nabla u) \\ + W_{u^k}(u, \nabla u) u^k u^i + W_{p_\alpha^k}(u, \nabla u) \nabla_\alpha u^k u^i + W_{p_\alpha^k}(u, \nabla u) u^k \nabla_\alpha u^i.$$

Next, we will prove that a Hölder continuous solution of (1.4) belongs to $C^{1,\alpha}$ for some α with $0 < \alpha < 1$. For any point $z_0 = (x_0, t_0) \in \Omega \times [0, \mathbb{R})$ and any number $R > 0$, we use standard notations:

$$\begin{aligned} B(x_0, R) &= \{x \in \mathbb{R}^3 : |x - x_0| < R\}, \quad Q(z_0, R) = B(x_0, R) \times (t_0 - R^2, t_0), \\ S_R(z_0) &= B(x_0, R) \times \{t_0 - R^2\} \cap \partial B(x_0, R) \times (t_0 - R^2, t_0). \end{aligned}$$

Proposition 14. *Let Ω be a domain in \mathbb{R}^3 with smooth boundary $\partial\Omega$. Let u be a weak solution of (1.4) and Hölder continuous in $\Omega \times [0, T)$. Then, ∇u is (locally) Hölder continuous with the same exponent in $\Omega \times [0, T)$.*

Proof. Assume that $u(x, t)$ is Hölder continuous with exponent β , $0 < \beta < 1$. Let $(x_0, t_0) \in \Omega \times (0, T)$ with $Q_{4R_0}(z_0) \subset \Omega \times (0, T)$ for some $R_0 > 0$. Note $u(x_0, t_0) = e \in S^2$. After a rotation, we can assume that $e = (0, 0, 1)$.

It follows from $|u| = 1$ and Cauchy's inequality that

$$(5.2) \quad |u^3|^2 |\nabla u^3|^2 \leq (1 - |u^3|^2) |\nabla u|^2 \leq 2|u - u(x_0, t_0)| |\nabla u|^2.$$

Denote

$$\tilde{p} = (p_\alpha^j)_{3 \times 2}.$$

Using the structure of $W(u, p)$, we can write

$$W_{\tilde{p}}(u, \nabla u) = \tilde{W}_{\tilde{p}}(u, \nabla u^1, \nabla u^2) + f(u, \nabla u^3),$$

where $|f(u, \nabla u^3)| \leq C|\nabla u^3|$.

Let $\tilde{v} = (v^1, v^2)$ be the solution of the Cauchy-Dirichlet problem

$$(5.3) \quad \begin{aligned} v_t^i &= \nabla_\alpha [W_{\tilde{p}_\alpha^i}(e, \nabla v^1, \nabla v^2)] \quad \text{in } Q_R(z_0) \\ v^i &= u^i \quad \text{on } S_R(z_0). \end{aligned}$$

for $i = 1, 2$. Since (5.3) is a parabolic system with constant coefficients, it follows from Proposition 1.2 in [GS; Proposition 1.2] that for all $\rho \leq R \leq R_0$

$$\int_{Q_\rho} |\nabla \tilde{v}|^2 dz \leq C \left(\frac{\rho}{R} \right)^5 \int_{Q_R} |\nabla \tilde{v}|^2 dz$$

and

$$\int_{Q_\rho} |\nabla \tilde{v} - (\nabla \tilde{v})_\rho|^2 dz \leq C \left(\frac{\rho}{R} \right)^7 \int_{Q_R} |\nabla \tilde{v} - (\nabla \tilde{v})_R|^2 dz.$$

Set $\tilde{w} = \tilde{u} - \tilde{v}$. Then for all $\rho < R$, we have

$$(5.4) \quad \int_{Q_\rho} |\nabla u|^2 dz \leq C \left(\frac{\rho}{R} \right)^5 \int_{Q_R} |\nabla u|^2 dz + C \int_{Q_R} |\nabla \tilde{w}|^2 dz + C \int_{Q_R} |\nabla u^3|^2 dz$$

and

$$(5.5) \quad \int_{Q_\rho} |\nabla u - (\nabla u)_\rho|^2 dz \leq C \left(\frac{\rho}{R} \right)^7 \int_{Q_R} |\nabla u - (\nabla u)_R|^2 dz + C \int_{Q_R} |\nabla \tilde{w}|^2 dz \\ + C \int_{Q_R} |\nabla u^3|^2 dz.$$

Note that u is β -Hölder continuous in $\Omega \times [0, T)$ and $u(x_0, t_0) = (0, 0, 1)$.

Although there is no maximum principle for the parabolic system (5.3) with constant coefficients, Giaquinta-Struwe in [GS; page 445] obtained that

$$\sup_{Q_R} |v - u(x_0, t_0)| \leq C \sup_{Q_R} |u - u(x_0, t_0)|$$

with a constant C independent of R and u . This implies

$$(5.6) \quad |\tilde{w}| \leq |u - u(x_0, t_0)| + |v - u(x_0, t_0)| \leq CR^\beta.$$

Multiplying the difference between (5.3) and (1.4) by \tilde{w}^i ($i = 1, 2$) and integrating over Q_R lead to

$$(5.7) \quad \int_{B_R} |\tilde{w}|^2(\cdot, t_0) dx + \int_{Q_R} \sum_{i=1}^2 \nabla_\alpha \tilde{w}^i W_{\tilde{p}_\alpha^i}(e, \nabla \tilde{w}) dx \\ \leq \int_{Q_R} \sum_{i=1}^2 |\nabla_\alpha \tilde{w}^i| |\tilde{W}_{\tilde{p}_\alpha^i}(e, \nabla \tilde{w}) - \tilde{W}_{\tilde{p}_\alpha^i}(u, \nabla \tilde{w})| dx + C \int_{Q_R} |\nabla u^3| |\nabla \tilde{w}| dx \\ + \int_{Q_R} \sum_{i=1}^2 \sum_{k=1}^3 \nabla_\alpha \tilde{w}^i u^i u^k V_{p_\alpha^k}(u, \nabla u) + C \int_{Q_R} |\tilde{w}| |\nabla u|^2 dx.$$

Since u is β -Hölder continuous and $u(x_0, t_0) = (0, 0, 1)$, we have $|u^i| \leq CR^\beta$ for $i = 1, 2$. Applying Young's inequality and (5.2) yields

$$(5.8) \quad \int_{Q_R} |\nabla \tilde{w}|^2 dz \leq CR^\beta \int_{Q_R} |\nabla u|^2 dz.$$

It follows that for all $\rho < R$,

$$(5.9) \quad \int_{Q_\rho} |\nabla u|^2 dz \leq C \left(\frac{\rho}{R} \right)^5 \int_{Q_R} |\nabla u|^2 dz + CR^\beta \int_{Q_R} |\nabla u|^2 dz.$$

We claim the following Cacciopoli's inequality

$$(5.10) \quad \int_{Q(z_0, R)} |\nabla u|^2 dz \leq C \frac{1}{R^2} \int_{Q(z_0, 2R)} |u - u_{2R}|^2 dz \leq CR^{3+2\beta}.$$

for any $z_0 \in \Omega \times (0, \infty)$ and $R \leq R_0$, where u_{2R} is the average of u in $Q_{2R}(x_0, t_0)$.

Next, we prove this claim. Let ξ be a cut-off function in $C_0^\infty(B_{2R}(x_0))$ with $0 \leq \xi \leq 1$, $\xi \equiv 1$ in $B_R(x_0)$ and $|\nabla \xi| \leq \frac{C}{R}$. Let $\tau \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$ be a function depends only on t with $0 \leq \tau \leq 1$, $\tau \equiv 1$ on $[t_0 - R^2, t_0]$ and $\tau \equiv 0$ on $(-\infty, t_0 - 4R^2)$ and $|\partial_t \tau| \leq C/R^2$.

Testing (1.4) with $\phi = (u^i - u_{2R}^i)\xi^2\tau^2 I_{(-\infty, t_0)}$ for $i = 1, 2$, where $I_{(-\infty, t_0)}$ is the characteristic function of $(-\infty, t_0)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{B_{2R}(x_0)} |u(\cdot, t_0) - u_{2R}|^2 \xi^2 \tau^2(t_0) dx + \int_{Q_{2R}(z_0)} \sum_{i,j=1}^2 W_{p_\alpha^i p_\beta^j} \nabla_\alpha u^i \nabla_\alpha u^j \xi^2 \tau^2 dz \\ & \leq 2 \int_{Q_{2R}(z_0)} [W_{p_\alpha^i}(u, \nabla u) - u^k u^i V_{p_\alpha^k}(u, \nabla u)] \nabla_\alpha \xi (u^i - u_{2R}^i) \xi \tau^2 dz \\ & + C \int_{Q_{2R}(z_0)} |\nabla u|^2 |u - u_{2R}| \xi^2 \tau^2 dz + 2 \int_{Q_{2R}(z_0)} |u - u_{2R}|^2 \xi^2 \tau \partial_t \tau dz \\ & + \int_{Q_{2R}(z_0)} u^k u^i V_{p_\alpha^k}(u, \nabla u) \nabla_\alpha (u^i - u_{2R}^i) \xi^2 \tau^2 dz + C \int_{Q_{2R}(z_0)} |\nabla u^3|^2 \xi^2 \tau^2 dz. \end{aligned}$$

Since u is β -Hölder continuous and $u(x_0, t_0) = (0, 0, 1)$, $u(x, t) - u_{2R}$ can be chosen sufficiently small when R_0 is small and $|u^1| + |u^2|$ is also small. We need to deal with the above last term. By (5.2), the term $|\nabla u^3|^2$ is also good. By Young's inequality, the claim (5.10) is proved.

Using (5.9) and (5.10), a standard iteration (cf. [G], Chapter III, Lemma 2.1) yields that for all $\rho \leq R_0$, one has

$$(5.11) \quad \int_{Q_\rho} |\nabla u|^2 dz \leq C \rho^{3+3\beta},$$

where C depends on R_0 . An iteration by (5.9) and (5.10) yields that for any $\sigma < 1$,

$$\int_{Q_\rho} |\nabla u|^2 dz \leq C \rho^{3+2\sigma}.$$

Using (5.2) and (5.8) yields

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{Q_\rho} |\nabla u - (\nabla u)_\rho|^2 dz & \leq C \left(\frac{\rho}{R}\right)^7 \int_{Q_R} |\nabla u - (\nabla u)_R|^2 dz + C R^\beta \int_{Q_R} |\nabla u|^2 dz \\ & \leq C \left(\frac{\rho}{R}\right)^7 \int_{Q_R} |\nabla u - (\nabla u)_R|^2 dz + C R^{3+2\sigma+\beta}. \end{aligned}$$

Choose σ sufficiently close to 1 so that $2\sigma + \beta > 2$. Then, for all $\rho \leq \frac{R}{2}$, we have

$$\int_{Q_\rho} |\nabla u - (\nabla u)_\rho|^2 dz \leq C \rho^{5+2\sigma_1}$$

for some σ_1 with $0 < \sigma_1 < 1$. This implies $\nabla u \in C_{loc}^{1, \sigma_1}$ and then $\nabla u \in C^{1, \beta}$ (cf [GS]). \square

Proposition 15. *Let (u, v) be a weak solution of (1.5)-(1.7) in $\mathbb{R}^2 \times [0, T]$ and assume that u is Hölder continuous in $\mathbb{R}^2 \times [0, T]$. Let τ be any positive constant. For $t \in [\tau, T]$, we have*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla^2 u(x, t)|^2 + |\nabla v(x, t)|^2 dx \leq C \tau^{-1} (1 + TR^{-2}).$$

Then, (u, v) is smooth in $\mathbb{R}^2 \times (0, T)$.

Proof. By Sobolev's embedding Theorem, we have

$$\int_{B_1(x_0)} |\nabla u(x, t)|^p + |v(x, t)|^p dx$$

for any $p > 1$ and for $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^2$ and $t > \tau$. By a similar way to one in Lemma 5, we can show that u is Hölder continuous in $\mathbb{R}^2 \times [\tau, T]$.

To get the higher order regularity, we rewrite (1.7) as

$$(5.12) \quad u_t^i - \nabla_\alpha [W_{p_\alpha^i}(u, \nabla u)] = -u^k u^i \nabla_\alpha [V_{p_\alpha^k}(u, \nabla u)] - (v \cdot \nabla) u^i + \tilde{B}(u, \nabla u),$$

where $\tilde{B}(u, \nabla u)$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{B}(u, \nabla u) = & -W_{u^i}(u, \nabla u) + W_{u^k}(u, \nabla u) u^k u^i + W_{p_\alpha^k}(u, \nabla u) \nabla_\alpha u^k u^i \\ & + V_{p_\alpha^k}(u, \nabla u) u^k \nabla_\alpha u^i - \nabla_\alpha [u^k u^i] V_{p_\alpha^k}(u, \nabla u). \end{aligned}$$

Since $W(u, p)$ is quadratic and convex in p , we can write

$$W_{p_\alpha^i}(u, \nabla u) = a_{\alpha\beta}^{ij}(u) \nabla_\alpha u^j.$$

Since u is uniformly Hölder continuous, the left-hand term of (5.12) is a parabolic operator. Let $\xi(x)$ be a cut-off function in $B_R(x_0)$ and let $\tau \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$ be a function depends only on t with $0 \leq \tau \leq 1$, $\tau \equiv 1$ on $[t_0 - \frac{1}{4}R^2, t_0]$ and $\tau \equiv 0$ on $(-\infty, t_0 - R^2)$ and $|\partial_t \tau| \leq C/R^2$. Set $\phi = \tau \xi$. Multiplying (5.12) by ϕ , we have

$$(5.13) \quad \begin{aligned} & (u\phi)_t^i - \nabla_\alpha [a_{\alpha\beta}^{ij}(u) \nabla_\alpha (u^j \phi)] - u^i \phi_t \\ & = -u^k u^i \nabla_\alpha [V_{p_\alpha^k}(u, \nabla u)] \phi - [(v \cdot \nabla) u^i + \tilde{B}(u, \nabla u)] \phi. \end{aligned}$$

By the assumption, we have

$$(v \cdot \nabla) u \in L^p(Q_R(x_0)), \quad |\nabla u|^2 \in L^p(Q_R(x_0)) \quad \forall p > 1$$

But the first term on the righthand of (5.13) is not a 'good' term, which need more analysis. Using the fact that $|u| = 1$, we have

$$u^3 \nabla_{\alpha\beta}^2 u^3 = -(\nabla_\beta u \cdot \nabla u + u^1 \nabla_{\alpha\beta}^2 u^1 + u^2 \nabla_{\alpha\beta}^2 u^2), \quad u^3 u_t^3 = -(u^1 u_t^1 + u^2 u_t^2).$$

Without loss of generality, we regard the solution in \mathbb{R}^3 . By a rotation, we assume

$$u(x_0, t_0) = (0, 0, 1).$$

Since u is Hölder continuous, there exists a small R such that

$$|u(x, t) - u(x_0, t_0)| \leq \varepsilon$$

for a sufficiently small constant $\varepsilon > 0$. Therefore

$$|\nabla^2 u^3| \leq C|\nabla u|^2 + 2\varepsilon(|\nabla^2 u^1| + |\nabla^2 u^2|)$$

Apply the classical L^p -estimate of parabolic systems (c.f. [Ei], [LSU]) to (5.13) for $i = 1, 2$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\tilde{u}_t \phi\|_{L^p(Q_R(x_0))} + \|\nabla^2(\tilde{u} \phi)\|_{L^p(Q_R(x_0))} &\leq C\|\phi \nabla^2 u^3\|_{L^p} + C\varepsilon\|\phi \nabla^2 u\|_{L^p(Q_R(x_0))} \\ &\quad + C(\|u\|_{L^{2p}(Q_R(x_0))} + \|v\|_{L^{2p}(Q_R(x_0))} + 1), \end{aligned}$$

where $\tilde{u} = (u^1, u^2)$. Choosing ε sufficiently small, we obtain

$$\|u_t \phi\|_{L^p(Q_R(x_0))} + \|\nabla^2(u \phi)\|_{L^p(Q_R(x_0))} \leq C.$$

To estimate v in (1.5), it follows from Hölder's inequality that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2 \times [\tau, T]} |(v \cdot \nabla)v|^p dx \leq \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2 \times [\tau, T]} |\nabla v|^4 dx dt \right)^{p/4} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2 \times [\tau, T]} |v|^{\frac{4}{4-p}} dx dt \right)^{\frac{4-p}{4}}$$

for any p with $3 < p < 4$. By the L^p -estimate of Stoke's operator (e.g. [So]), v_t and $\nabla^2 v$ are in L^p for $3 < p < 4$. This implies that v is Hölder continuous.

Differentiating in x_l in (5.12), we have

$$\begin{aligned} &(\nabla_{x_l} u^i)_t - \nabla_\alpha \left[a_{\alpha\beta}^{ij}(u) \nabla_\alpha (\nabla_{x_l} u^j) \right] \\ &= -u^k u^i \nabla_\alpha [V_{p_\alpha^k}(u, \nabla \nabla_{x_l} u)] + v \# \nabla^2 u + \nabla v \# \nabla u + \nabla u \# \nabla^2 u. \end{aligned}$$

By applying the L^p -theory, a similar argument yields that ∇u is uniformly continuous. Then, a standard bootstrap method implies that (u, v) are smooth. \square

Acknowledgements: The research of the first author was supported by the Australian Research Council grant DP0985624. The research of the second author is supported partially by Zheng Ge Ru Foundation, Hong Kong RGC Earmarked Research Grant CUHK4042/08P, and a Focus Area Grant from The Chinese University of Hong Kong. A part of the work was done when Hong visited the Chinese University of Hong Kong in July of 2008 and in December of 2009.

REFERENCES

- [AL] F. J. Almgren and E. H. Lieb, *Singularities of energy minimizing maps from the ball to the sphere: Examples, counterexamples, and bounds*, Ann. Math. **128** (1988), 483–530.
- [Am] H. Amann, *Quasilinear Parabolic systems under nonlinear boundary conditions*, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. **92** (1986), 153–192.

- [BCLP] P. Bauman, M. Calderer, C. Liu and D. Phillips, *The Phase Transition between Chiral Nematic and Smectic A. Liquid Crystals*, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. **165** (2002), 161-186.
- [BBC] F. Bethuel, H. Brezis and J. M. Coron, *Relaxed energies for harmonic maps*, In variational methods, edited by Berestycki, Coron, Ekeland, Birkhäuser, Basel (1990), 37-52.
- [CKN] L. Caffarelli, R. Kohn and L. Nirenberg, *Partial regularity of suitable weak solutions of Navier-Stokes equations*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **35** (1982), 771-831.
- [CS] Y. Chen and M. Struwe, *Existence and partial regular results for the heat flow for harmonic maps*, Math. Z. **201** (1989), 83-103.
- [G] M. Giaquinta, *Multiple integrals in the calculus of variations and nonlinear elliptic systems*, Princeton Univ. Press, 1983.
- [GMS1] M. Giaquinta, G. Modica and J. Soucek, *The Dirichlet energy of mappings with values into the sphere*, Manuscripta Math. **65** (1989), 489-507.
- [GMS2] M. Giaquinta, G. Modica and J. Soucek, *Liquid crystals: relaxed energies, dipoles, singular lines and singular Points*, Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa (3) **17** (1990), 415-437.
- [GMS3] M. Giaquinta, G. Modica and J. Soucek, *Cartesian currents in the calculus of variations, part II, Variational integrals*, A series of modern surveys in mathematics, 38, Springer-Verlag, 1998.
- [GS] M. Giaquinta and M. Struwe, *On the partial regularity weak solutions of non-linear parabolic systems*, Math. Z **179** (1982), 437-451.
- [ES] J. Eells and J. H. Sampson, *Harmonic mappings of Riemannian manifolds*, Amer. J. Math. **86** (1964), 109-160.
- [Ei] S. Eidel'man, *Parabolic systems*, North Holland Publishing, 1969.
- [Er] J. Ericksen, *Equilibrium Theory of Liquid Crystals*, Academic Press, New York, 1976.
- [HKL1] R. Hardt, D. Kinderlehrer and F.-H. Lin, *Existence and partial regularity of static Liquid Crystal Configurations*, Comm. Math. Phys. **105** (1986), 547-570.
- [HKL2] R. Hardt, D. Kinderlehrer and F.-H. Lin, *Stable defects of minimizers of constrained variational principles*, Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré, Analyse non linéaire **5** (1988), 297-322.
- [Ho1] M.-C. Hong, *Partial regularity of weak solutions of the Liquid Crystal equilibrium system*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. **53** (2004), 1401-1414.
- [Ho2] M.-C. Hong, *Existence of infinitely many equilibrium configurations of the Liquid Crystal system prescribing the same non-constant boundary value*, Pacific Journal of Mathematics **232** (2007), 177-206.
- [Ho3] M.-C. Hong, *Global existence of solutions of the simplified Ericksen-Leslie system in dimension two*, To appear in Calc. Var. & PDEs (DOI 10.1007/s00526-010-0331-5).
- [Hu] N. Hungerbühler, *m-harmonic flow*, Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci. (4) **XXIV** (1997), 593-631.
- [K] M. Kleman, *Points, Lines and Walls*, John Wiley & Son, New Year, 1983.
- [LSU] O. A. Ladyzhenskaya, V. A. Solonnikov and N. N. Ural'ceva, *Linear and quasilinear equations of parabolic type*, Translations of Mathematical Monographs 23. Providence, Rhode Island: American Mathematical Society, 1968.
- [Le] F. Leslie, *Theory of flow phenomenon in liquid crystal*, (vol 4) Brown (Ed.) A. P. New York, 1979, pp. 1-81.
- [L1] F.-H. Lin, *Nonlinear theory of defects in nematic liquid crystals: Phase transition and flow phenomena*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **42** (1989), 789-814.

- [L2] F.-H. Lin, *A new proof of the Caffarelli-Kohn-Nirenberg theorem*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **51** (1998), 241–257.
- [LLW] F.-H. Lin, J. Lin and C. Wang, *Liquid crystal flow in two dimension*, To appear in Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.
- [LL1] F.-H. Lin and C. Liu, *Nonparabolic dissipative systems modelling the flow of liquid crystals*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **48** (1995), 501–537.
- [LL2] F.-H. Lin and C. Liu, *Existence of solutions for the Ericksen-Leslie System*, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. **154** (2000), 135–156.
- [LP] F.-H. Lin and X.-B. Pan, *Magnetic field-induced instabilities in liquid crystals*, SIAM J. Math. Anal. **38** (2007), 1588–1612.
- [So] V. A. Solonnikov, *L_p -estimates for solutions to the initial boundary-value problem for the generalized Stokes system in a bounded domain.*, J. Math. Sci. **105** (2001), 2448–2484.
- [St1] M. Struwe, *On the evolution of harmonic maps of Riemannian surfaces*, Commun. Math. Helv. **60** (1985), 558–581.
- [St2] M. Struwe, *The existence of surfaces of constant mean curvature with free boundaries*, Acta Math. **160** (1988), 19–64.
- [Sc] V. Scheffer, *Hausdorff measure and the Navier-Stokes equations*, Comm. Math. Phys. **61** (1977), 97–112.
- [TX] G. Tian and Z. Xin, *Gradient estimation on Navier-Stokes equations*, Comm. Anal. Geom. **7** (1999), 221–257.

MIN-CHUN HONG, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, THE UNIVERSITY OF QUEENSLAND, BRISBANE, QLD 4072, AUSTRALIA. EMAIL: HONG@MATHS.UQ.EDU.AU

ZHOUPING XIN, THE INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, THE CHINESE UNIVERSITY OF HONG KONG, SHATIN, N.T., HONG KONG.